

PANEL DISCUSSION REPORT

2015 SOCIAL INNOVATION FUND COMPETITION

Legal Applicant: Applicant XYZ

Panel #: 5 Application ID: 15SI160000

Panel Coordinator: Document each Reviewer's scores after discussions. Please document the discussion points from the panel for this application according to the guidance below. Take note of how the relevant Selection Criteria were considered during the panel discussion, and chronicle the significant points of agreement and disagreement. Please include your observations of any anomalies, or concerns from the panel discussion that you would like to raise for the attention of Program Staff.

Panel scores:

Reviewer 1: 65

Reviewer 2: 63

Reviewer 3: 72

If final scores have a range of more than 20 points, please have the panel revisit the IRWs to ensure that ratings and criteria are understood by all Reviewers.

Areas of Agreement

There was broad agreement among panelists on the application's overall quality.

Panelists all agreed the applicant's presentation of why. There was also agreement around the proposed xxx

Although specific reasons varied, individual panelists were largely in agreement about weaknesses in the proposed plan to xxx. There was agreement the proposed YY. But there was also agreement the ZZZ had to be considered a weakness.

Areas of Disagreement

There were several differences among individual reviewers (greater than one rating level) while rating individual criteria.

Panel members agreed the evidence provided by the applicant tended to support xxx. However, some panel members asserted the lack of current data and the absence of specific information regarding YY. Other panel members did not think the issue was as critical (I).

Logically, the panel disagreement over ratings for Criteria B carried over to Criteria CC. There was substantial discussion over the issue of xx and whether or not the proposed xx activities would lead to the anticipated outcome. After considering the various views, most of the reviewers maintained their differing rating levels.

Were there any points of contentious discussion?

No X

If 'yes' please list the topic(s) below and describe the situation(s):

Observations from Panel Coordinator on the Discussion:

The discussion of this application was productive, thorough, and covered each of the XX selection criteria... Panelists did not always agree on each element but only in a few cases noted above did the ratings vary more than one level. No single panelist dominated the discussion and individual differences were thoroughly explored. In the end, panelists noted they would revise comments to provide clearer context about their ratings, but the majority of the initial rating determinations would be maintained.

SAMPLE