

## PANEL DISCUSSION REPORT 2015 RSVP COMPETITION

Legal Applicant: National Caretaker Excellence Network      Application ID 15SR140000  
 Reviewer Name: Ima Lead-Reviewer      Panel #: 16      Opportunity #: OH-02

Lead Reviewer: Initially document each Reviewer's scores before discussions begin. Please document the discussion points from the panel for this application according to the guidance below. Take note of how the relevant Selection Criteria were considered during the panel discussion, and chronicle the significant points of agreement and disagreement. Please include your observations of any anomalies, or concerns from the panel discussion that you would like to raise for the attention of Program Staff.

**Pre-Discussion Panel scores:**

Panel Coordinator: 750	Staff Reviewer: 680	External Reviewer: 650
------------------------	---------------------	------------------------

**Final scores:**

Panel Coordinator: 738	Staff Reviewer: 680	External Reviewer: 664
------------------------	---------------------	------------------------

If final scores have a range of more than 120 points, please have the panel revisit the IRFs to ensure that ratings and criteria are understood by all Reviewers.

**Areas of Agreement**

- The applicant provided evidence of a strong history of success with similar volunteer programs that indicated they will have success with this project as well.

**Areas of Disagreement**

- While panel members agreed the evidence provided by the applicant tended to support the existence of the identified problem in the area where they intend to operate; some panel members asserted the lack of current data and the absence of specific information regarding conditions in the target communities did not necessarily document the identified problems in the target communities.

Were there any points of contentious discussion?

Yes

If 'yes' please list the topic(s) below and describe the situation(s):

- There was substantial discussion over the issue of the presence of the problem in the target communities and whether or not the proposed activities would lead to the anticipated outcome. After considering the various views, most of the reviewers maintained their differing rating levels.

Did you discuss what clarification questions should be asked of the applicant?

Yes