

**2017 New/Recompete Consensus Review Form
AmeriCorps State & National**

A. Type of Review	Consensus
B. Status of Review	In Progress
C. Date of Submission	
E. Panel Number	
F. 2017 Application ID	
G. Legal Applicant	
H. Program Name	
I. Program History	
J. Single or Multi-State	

Review Summary: Internal to Clarification

Recommendation	0
Recommended Funds (\$)	\$0
Recommended MSYs	0.00
Recommended Cost/MSY (\$)	0.00
Recommended Slots	0
Justification of Funding Recommendation	
	0
Significant Opportunity and/or Risk	N/A
Comments on Significant Opportunity and/or Risk	
N/A	

Review Summary: Final

Recommendation	0
Recommended Funds (\$)	\$0
Recommended MSYs	0.00
Recommended Cost/MSY (\$)	0.00
Recommended Slots	0
Justification of Funding Recommendation	
	0

REVIEW: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND PROGRAM DESIGN	
A. Executive Summary	
Executive Summary	
B. Program Design	
1. Need	
1a. The community problem/need is prevalent and severe in communities where members will serve and has been well documented with relevant data.	
2. Intervention	
2b. The proposed intervention aligns with the identified community need.	
3. Theory of Change and Logic Model	
3a. The applicant's proposed intervention is clearly articulated including the design, target population, and roles of AmeriCorps members and (if applicable) leveraged volunteers.	
3b. The applicant's intervention is likely to lead to the outcomes identified in the applicant's theory of change.	
3c. The proposed outcomes articulated in the application narrative and Logic Model represent meaningful progress in addressing the community need identified by the applicant.	
3d. The applicant's AmeriCorps members will produce significant contributions to existing efforts to address the stated problem.	
4. Evidence Base: Assessed externally	
5. Notice Priority	
5a. The applicant proposed program fits within one or more of the 2017 AmeriCorps funding priorities as outlined in the Funding Priorities section and more fully described in the Mandatory Supplemental Guidance and meets all of the requirements detailed in the Funding Priorities section and in the Mandatory Supplemental Guidance.	
6. Member Training	
6a: AmeriCorps members will receive high quality training to provide effective service.	
6b: AmeriCorps members and volunteers will be aware of, and will adhere to, AmeriCorps requirements including the rules regarding prohibited activities.	
7. Member Supervision	
7a. AmeriCorps members will receive sufficient guidance and support from their supervisor to provide effective service.	
7b. AmeriCorps supervisors will be adequately trained/prepared to follow AmeriCorps and program regulations, priorities, and expectations.	
8. Member Experience	
8a. AmeriCorps members will gain skills and experience as a result of their training and service that can be utilized and will be valued by future employers after their service term is completed.	
8b. AmeriCorps members will have access to meaningful service experiences.	
8c. AmeriCorps members will have access to opportunities for reflection and connection to the broader National Service network.	
8d. The program will recruit AmeriCorps members from the geographic or demographic communities in which the programs operate.	
9. Commitment to AmeriCorps Identification	
9a. Members will know they are AmeriCorps members.	

REVIEW: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND PROGRAM DESIGN

9b. Staff and community members where the members are serving will know they are AmeriCorps members.

--

Program Design Feedback: Strengths

No significant strengths noted.

Program Design Feedback: Weaknesses

No significant weaknesses noted.

Program Design Clarification

Performance Measure Clarification

Program Design Resolution

Performance Measure Resolution

Supplemental PM Review Complete

No

Bonus!

Bonus 1

Bonus 2

C. Organizational Capability	
1. Organizational Background and Staffing	
1a. The organization has the experience, staffing, and management structure to plan and implement the proposed program.	
2. Compliance and Accountability	
2a. The organization will comply with AmeriCorps rules and regulations including those related to prohibited and unallowable activities at the grantee, subgrantee (if applicable), and service site locations.	
2b. The applicant's organization, in implementation and management of its AmeriCorps program, will prevent and detect compliance issues.	
2c. The applicant will hold subgrantees (if applicable) and service site locations accountable if instances of risk or noncompliance are identified.	
Organizational Capability Feedback: Strengths	
No significant strengths noted.	
Organizational Capability Feedback: Weaknesses	
No significant weaknesses noted.	
Organizational Capability Clarification	
Organizational Capability Resolution	
Bonus!	
Keyword results for prohibited/unallowable activities were reviewed	
Keyword results review requires Clarification	

D. Cost Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy	
1. Cost Effectiveness	
<p>1a. The budget is sufficient to carry out the program effectively. Program costs not included in the formal budget, including for Fixed Price grantees, must be described in this section in sufficient detail to allow reviewers to assess their sufficiency and alignment.</p>	
<p>1b. The budget aligns with the applicant's narrative. Program costs not included in the formal budget, including for Fixed Price grantees, must be described in this section in sufficient detail to allow reviewers to assess their sufficiency and alignment.</p>	
<p>1c. The applicant has raised or describes an adequate plan to raise non-CNCS resources to fully support the program.</p>	
<p>1d. The applicant, if re-competing, has a lower cost per Member Service Year than approved in previous grants, or provides a compelling rationale for the same or increased cost including why this increase could not be covered by the grantee share.</p>	
Budget Adequacy - Grant Officer Rating	
Budget is submitted without mathematical errors and proposed costs are allowable, reasonable, and allocable to the award	Grants Office rating
Budget is submitted with adequate information to assess how each line item is calculated.	Grants Office rating
Budget is in compliance with the budget instructions.	Grants Office rating
Match is submitted with adequate information to support the amount written in the budget.	Grants Office rating
Cost Effectiveness Feedback: Strengths	
No significant strengths noted.	
Cost Effectiveness Feedback: Weaknesses	
No significant weaknesses noted.	
Cost Effectiveness Clarification	
Cost Effectiveness Resolution	
Bonus!	
Bonus 5	

E. Applicant Priority Area	
Disaster Services	No
Economic Opportunity- increasing economic opportunities for communities by engaging opportunity youth, either as the population served and/or as AmeriCorps members.	No
Education - improving student academic performance in Science, Technology, Engineering, and/or Mathematics (STEM)	No
Environment- 21 st CSC	No
Healthy Futures – Reducing and/or Preventing Prescription Drug and Opioid Abuse	No
Veterans and Military Families	No
Governor and Mayor Initiative	No
Programming that Supports My Brother’s Keeper	No
Multi-Focus Intermediary	No
Evidence Based Intervention Planning Grants	No
Safer Communities	No
Encore Programs	No
No NOFO Priority Area	No
Reviewer Notes: Applicant Priority Area	
N/A	

F. Grant Characteristics	
Faith Based Organization	No
Community Based Organization	Yes
SIG/Priority School	No
Professional Corps	No
STEM Program	No
Geographic Focus - Urban only	No
Geographic Focus - Rural only	No
Geographic Focus - Rural and Urban	No
None of the Above	No
Reviewer Notes: Grant Characteristics	
N/A	

G. AmeriCorps Member Population	
Communities of Color	No
Low income Individuals	No
Native Americans	No
New Americans	No
Older Americans	No
People with Disabilities	No
Rural Residents	No
Veterans, Active Military, or their Families	No
Economically disadvantaged young adults/Opportunity Youth	No
None of the Above	No
Reviewer Notes: AmeriCorps Member Population	

N/A

H. Threshold Requirements, Commission Recommendation, and Consultation

H1. Full Time Fixed Amount Grants	N/A
H2. Governor and Mayor Initiative	N/A
H3. Tutoring Program	N/A
H4. Multi-Focus Intermediary	N/A
H5. If a Multi-State applicant, did the applicant adequately describe its consultation with applicable state commissions?	N/A

Comments on Threshold Requirements and Multi-State Consultation

N/A

H6. Comments on Commission Recommendation

N/A

I. Professional Corps Threshold and Detail (for applicants proposing Professional Corps programs)

Professional Corps Detail 1: The applicant acknowledges the requirement to demonstrate an inadequate number of professionals, and provides information or data to support that there is an inadequate number of professionals.	N/A
---	-----

Professional Corps Detail 2: The applicant provides a statement or data showing that there have been layoffs and reductions in force of the professionals in the communities in which the AmeriCorps members will serve and does not provide additional information to establish that there are an inadequate number of professionals.	N/A
--	-----

Professional Corps Detail 3: Bonus Field	N/A
---	-----

Professional Corps Detail 4: Bonus Field	N/A
---	-----

Professional Corps Detail 5: Bonus Field	N/A
---	-----

Comments on Professional Corps Detail

N/A

Justification of Professional Corps funding recommendation.

N/A

J. Letters of Support (When applicable)

Governor/Mayor initiative	N/A
---------------------------	-----

Multi Focus Intermediary	N/A
--------------------------	-----

Opportunity/Risk

The applicant represents a significant opportunity or a significant risk.	N/A
---	-----

Comments on Opportunity/Risk

N/A

Clarification

Resolution

Bonus 7

Bonus 8

Internal to Clarification Recommendation

Recommendation	
Requested Funds	
Recommended Funds (\$)	
Requested MSY	
Recommended MSYs	
Requested Slots	
Recommended Slots	
Recommended Cost/MSY (\$)	\$0.00
Recommended Cost/Slot	\$0.00
Justification of Recommendation to Advance	

Outstanding issues after Clarification
N/A

No Cost Slot Request (to be used if we offer no-cost slots during the process)	
MSY	
Slots	
Notes	

Bonus!	
Bonus 9	
Bonus 10	

Final Recommendation

Recommendation	
Recommended Funds (\$)	
Recommended MSY	
Recommended Slots Total	
FT	
HT	
RHT	
QT	
MT	
Recommended Cost/MSY (\$)	\$0.00
Recommended Cost/Slot	0.00
Justification of Funding Recommendation	

Note the number of No Cost MSY and Slots included in the above recommendation (to be used if we offer no-cost slots during clarification)

MSY	
Slots	
Notes	