

Individual Reviewer Form
2015 AmeriCorps State and National Competition



FOR EACH SECTION, SELECT A RATING TO SHOW HOW WELL THE APPLICATION ADDRESSES EACH SELECTION CRITERIA.

1. Section One: Header

Reviewer First Initial & Last Name	<input type="text"/>	Applicant ID	15	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Panel Coordinator Name	<input type="text"/>	Panel Number		<input type="text"/>	
<i>Legal Applicant Name</i>		<i>Program Name</i>			

2. Section Two: Program Design

	SELECTION CRITERIA	RATING SELECTION
Problem / Need		
2.1	The applicant clearly describes how the community problem/need will be addressed by the program.	<input type="text"/>
2.2	The applicant clearly describes how the community need/problem is prevalent and severe in communities where members will serve and the need has been well documented with relevant data.	<input type="text"/>
Theory of Change and Logic Model		
2.3	The applicant clearly describes the proposed intervention including the roles of AmeriCorps members and (if applicable) the roles of leveraged volunteers.	<input type="text"/>
2.4	The applicant clearly describes how the intervention is likely to lead to the outcomes identified in the applicant's theory of change.	<input type="text"/>
2.5	The applicant clearly describes how the AmeriCorps members will produce significant and unique contributions to existing efforts to address the stated problem.	<input type="text"/>
2.6	All elements of the logic model are logically aligned.	<input type="text"/>
Member Training		
2.7	Members will receive high quality training to provide effective service.	<input type="text"/>
2.8	Members and volunteers will be aware of, and will adhere to, the rules including prohibited activities.	<input type="text"/>
Member Supervision		
2.9	Members will receive high quality guidance and support from their supervisor to provide effective service.	<input type="text"/>
2.10	Supervisors will be adequately trained/prepared to follow AmeriCorps and program regulations, priorities, and expectations.	<input type="text"/>
Member Experience		
2.11	AmeriCorps members will gain skills and experience as a result of their training and service that can be utilized and will be valued by future employers after their service term is completed.	<input type="text"/>
2.12	AmeriCorps members will have access to meaningful service experiences and opportunities for reflection.	<input type="text"/>
2.13	AmeriCorps members will have opportunities to establish connections with each other and the broader National Service network to build esprit de corps.	<input type="text"/>
2.14	AmeriCorps members will develop an ethic of and skills for active and productive citizenship and will be encouraged to continue to engage in public and community service after their AmeriCorps term.	<input type="text"/>
2.15	The program will recruit AmeriCorps members from the communities in which the programs operate.	<input type="text"/>
Click to go to comments tab: Section Two		

3. Section Three: Organizational Capability

	SELECTION CRITERIA	RATING SELECTION
Past Performance		
3.1	The applicant clearly describes how it has met performance measurement targets during the last three years of program operations, or if not has an adequate corrective action plan in place.	
		<input type="text"/>

Naming The File When Saving

Recommended File Naming Convention: Legal Applicant Name & Application ID & Reviewer Name & Panel Number & IRF Version & Date

i.e. _15_p_IRF_For_PC_Review_1-11-16

Note: Do not use the following characters in the filename: ? * . " / \ < > | :

4. Other: Use only if instructed

4.1

4.2

4.3

C2. Section Two Comments: Program Design

[Click to Return to Review Form](#)

Reviewer Section

C2.1 Significant Strengths of Program Design

Tip: Press and hold key "Alt" and then press "Enter" to insert a line break.

C2.2 Significant Weaknesses of Program Design

Tip: To edit existing comment box text, double click the cell to insert a cursor.

Feedback Section (Completed by Panel Coordinator and Program Officer Liaisons)

Panel Coordinator Feedback on IRF Quality

Feedback author:

PC Initial to verify feedback was incorporated (IRF is Final):

PROGRAM OFFICER LIAISON FEEDBACK SECTION

Quality Indicator 1: Comments on Significant Strengths and Weaknesses are directly relevant to the Selection Criteria

Quality Indicator 2: Comments on Significant Strengths and Weaknesses in each section are free of substantial red flags (major spelling/grammatical errors that render the comment incomprehensible, inappropriate or inflammatory comments, identifying information about Reviewers, questions or suggestions for improvement, etc.)

Program Officer Liaison¹ Feedback on IRF Quality

Feedback author:

Program Officer Liaison² Feedback on IRF Quality

Feedback author:

PC Certification of IRF