

“Collection of Information” is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3 and includes agency requests or requirements that members of the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A), requires Federal agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, the CFTC is publishing notice of the proposed collection of information listed below.

*Title:* Privacy of Consumer Financial Information (OMB Control No. 3038–0055). This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection.

*Abstract:* The passage of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank Act”), Public Law 111–203, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010), broadened the Commission’s regulatory authority under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (“GLB Act”) to cover two new entities: Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants, in addition to Futures Commission Merchants, Commodity Trading Advisors, Commodity Pool Operators, and Introducing Brokers.

Specifically, amendments to the GLB Act found in section 1093 of the Dodd-Frank Act, reaffirmed the Commission’s authority to promulgate regulations to require entities that are subject to the Commission’s jurisdiction to provide certain privacy protections for consumer financial information. These regulations were later extended to Retail Foreign Exchange Dealers.

With respect to the collection of information, the CFTC invites comments on:

- Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have a practical use;
- The accuracy of the Commission’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden of collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology; *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

All comments must be submitted in English, or if not, accompanied by an English translation. Comments will be posted as received to <http://www.cftc.gov>. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. If you wish the Commission to consider information that you believe is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, a petition for confidential treatment of the exempt information may be submitted according to the procedures established in § 145.9 of the Commission’s regulations.<sup>1</sup>

The Commission reserves the right, but shall have no obligation, to review, pre-screen, filter, redact, refuse or remove any or all of your submission from <http://www.cftc.gov> that it may deem to be inappropriate for publication, such as obscene language. All submissions that have been redacted or removed that contain comments on the merits of the Information Collection Request will be retained in the public comment file and will be considered as required under the Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, and may be accessible under the Freedom of Information Act.

*Burden Statement:* The respondent burden for this collection is estimated to be 0.24 hours per response.

*Respondents/Affected Entities:* Futures Commission Merchants, Retail Foreign Exchange Dealers, Commodity Trading Advisors, Commodity Pool Operators, Introducing Brokers, Major Swap Participants and Swap Dealers.

*Estimated number of respondents:* 110.

*Estimated total annual burden on respondents:* 528 hours.

*Frequency of collection:* Annual.

Dated: October 20, 2014.

**Christopher J. Kirkpatrick,**  
*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2014–25228 Filed 10–22–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6351–01–P**

---

## **CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE**

### **Information Collection; Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS) has submitted a public information collection request (ICR) entitled Commission Support Grant Grantee

<sup>1</sup> 17 CFR 145.9.

Progress Report (GPR) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13, (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Corporation for National and Community Service, Carla Ganiel at 202–606–6773 or email to [cganiel@cns.gov](mailto:cganiel@cns.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY–TDD) may call 1–800–833–3722 between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted, identified by the title of the information collection activity, to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: Ms. Sharon Mar, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service, by any of the following two methods within 30 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**:

- (1) By fax to: 202–395–6974, Attention: Ms. Sharon Mar, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service; or
- (2) By email to: [smar@omb.eop.gov](mailto:smar@omb.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of CNCS, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

### **Comments**

A 60-day Notice requesting public comment was published in the **Federal Register** on August 4, 2014. This comment period ended October 3, 2014. No public comments were received from this Notice.

*Description:* All State Commissions receiving Commission Support Grants complete a mid-year GPR and a GPR, which provide information for CNCS staff to monitor grantee progress and to respond to requests from Congress and

other stakeholders. The information is collected electronically through the eGrants system.

*Type of Review:* Renewal.

*Agency:* Corporation for National and Community Service.

*Title:* Commission Support Grant Grantee Progress Report.

*OMB Number:* 3045-0099.

*Agency Number:* None.

*Affected Public:* Commission Support Grant grantees.

*Total Respondents:* 53.

*Frequency:* Semi-annual.

*Average Time per Response:* 6.5 hours per submission.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 689.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* None.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* None.

Dated: October 17, 2014.

**William Basl,**

*Director, AmeriCorps State and National.*

[FR Doc. 2014-25186 Filed 10-22-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6050-28-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

#### Intent To Prepare a Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement To Support the Decision Document for Channel Widening Found in the Original Project Report and Environmental Impact Statement for the Expansion of Shipping Channels and Approaches to the Baltimore Harbor in Chesapeake Bay Maryland and Virginia

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District (USACE) and the non-Federal sponsor, the Maryland Port Administration (MPA), are intending to prepare a decision document and supporting Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) to execute Phase II of the Baltimore Harbor and Channels 50-Foot project to complete the construction of the project channels to their authorized widths and consider reformulation of the plan to develop new alternatives.

Because of the lapse in time since the authorization of the original project report and EIS in 1981 and the completion of Phase I in 1990, the intention of the new decision document and SEIS is to consider whether

widening the existing project channels to the authorized widths for Phase II is still in the federal interest and to allow for reformulation of the plan for Phase II, as appropriate, to develop new alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For questions, comments, further information or to be placed on the project information distribution list, please contact: Ms. Robin Armetta, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, 10 S. Howard Street, Planning Division, Baltimore, MD 21201, (410) 962-6100, *Robin.E.Armetta@*

*usace.army.mil* or

*baltimoreharborproject@*

*usace.army.mil*. Please contact Ms.

Armetta if you wish to speak at the meetings or should you have special needs (sign language interpreters, access needs) at the above address.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Baltimore Harbor and Channels 50-Foot project is a single purpose deep draft navigation project located in the Maryland and Virginia waters of the Chesapeake Bay and Patapsco River. The project was originally authorized by Section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1970 (Pub. L. 91-611), on December 31, 1970 as amended by Section 909 of the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) of 1986, and recommended for phased construction in 1985 via a supplement to a 1981 General Design Memorandum (GDM). The 1985 Supplement recommended a phased implementation to "hasten commencement" of the project, with the second phase being implemented "at a future date to be determined."

Phase I of project implementation provided a 50-foot deep main shipping channel from the Virginia Capes to Fort McHenry in Baltimore Harbor. In addition, the project includes the Curtis Bay Channel, the East Channel, and the West Channel, which are dredged to depths of 50 feet, 49 feet, and 40 feet, respectively, with all three channels authorized to a width of 600 feet. Due to financial and dredged material placement capacity constraints at the time, several channel components of the 50-foot project were not constructed to the authorized widths during Phase I. Two of the three 1000-foot wide Virginia channels were only constructed to a width of 800 feet, the 800-foot wide Maryland channels were only constructed to 700 feet, and the 600-foot wide Curtis Bay Channel was only constructed to a width of 400 feet.

**Need for Action:** Since completion of Phase I in 1990, the maritime industry has continued to utilize increasingly larger vessels to make port calls in

Baltimore Harbor. The current channels were designed for dry bulk and tanker ships of up to 150,000 Deadweight Tonnes (DWT), which corresponds to beam widths of about 145 feet and draft depths up to 50 feet. While ships may have a draft of up to 50 feet, the channels are designed to accommodate 5 feet under keel clearance; therefore vessels generally draft less than 50 feet. The current channel dimensions are generally adequate for today's vessel traffic, but the vessel pilots and shipping companies are concerned that the narrow channel widths are beginning to negatively impact shipping efficiency. Currently, deeper and wider vessels sometimes experience conditions that have the potential for safety issues when passing other ships in the narrow channels, which results in time delays and increased shipping costs. Furthermore, in 2016 when the Panama Canal improvements are scheduled to be completed, large ships requiring 50-foot channels and with beam widths of 160 feet will experience similar shipping delays when making calls in the Port of Baltimore if the channels remain at the current dimensions. Currently, Baltimore is one of two East Coast ports that can accommodate this ship size.

**Scoping:** The Corps is requesting written comments from federal, state, and local governments, industry, non-governmental organizations, and the general public on the need for action, the range of alternatives considered, and the potential impacts of the alternatives. Scoping comments will be accepted for 45 days from the date of this notice. Public scoping meetings are scheduled in Virginia and Maryland at two locations on the following dates: November 24, 2014, 7 p.m. at the Hampton Public (Main) Library, 4207 Victoria Blvd., Hampton, VA 23669 and November 19, 2014, 7 p.m. at the Riviera Beach Community Library—Anne Arundel County Public Library, 1130 Duvall Highway, Pasadena, MD 21122. For both the Virginia and Maryland meetings, a poster session will begin at 5 p.m., two hours prior to each meeting, where staff will be available to answer questions. All interested parties are invited to speak at the public meetings.

Estimated date of the Draft SEIS and Planning Report: Spring 2016.

**Daniel M. Bierly,**

*Chief, Civil Project Development Branch, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District.*

[FR Doc. 2014-25290 Filed 10-22-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3720-58-P**