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Objectives of today’s session

• The state of research related to literacy tutoring
• Two organizations developing replicable, evidence-based models
• What the models look like
• Results to date
• Discussion
What is evidence-based programming?

• **Evidence-based** = rigorous scientific study has demonstrated positive outcomes that can be attributed to an intervention and *not* to extraneous factors.

• **Programming / programs** = consistently delivered activities with clearly defined linkages between core components and expected outcomes for an identified population.
Evidence-based programs

“evidence-based” = increase confidence about what caused a result
Experimental research studies...

...systematically eliminate alternative explanations for why changes happen...

...and (eventually) promote the refinement and improvement of models
National literacy tutoring models

Experience Corps

Reading Partners

Minnesota Reading Corps

Reading Recovery
Common elements of current evidence-based literacy tutoring models

• One-on-one

• Elementary age struggling readers
  – Teacher identified academic risk / need
  – 60-30 months below grade level
  – Lowest 15%-20% of 1st grade readers

• Address specific skills (not read sharing alone)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Tutor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experience Corps</td>
<td>Age 50 plus, average age: 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Partners</td>
<td>Community volunteer and AmeriCorps site coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota Reading Corps</td>
<td>Full time AmeriCorps members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Recovery</td>
<td>Professional teacher</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experience Corps: Basic description

• Volunteers 50+
• 3,000 volunteers in 22 cities under AARP Foundation
• Provides national training and support
Experience Corps model: “Essential elements”

- Experience Corps staff coordinates between tutor and teacher
- Training and support for tutors
- Must be structured curriculum
Variations in Experience Corps

- Locally adopted curriculum
- Minimum service requirements: 4 - 15 hours/week depending on site
- 15 – 32 hours of tutor training
- Degree of onsite staff presence between full time and > 2 visits /mo
- Some sites use group tutoring / classroom assistance
Experience Corps: evaluations

• Whole school approach (2004) – positive results
• Evaluation of one-on-one tutoring (2010) – positive results
• Multiple evaluations indicate benefits for participating seniors
• Ongoing evaluation sponsored by the Social Innovation Fund
Experience Corps as tested 2007-2008

• 883 students in grades 1 - 3
• 20 schools, 3 cities: NYC, Boston, Port Arthur (Texas)

• Outcomes measured:
  o reading comprehension
  o phonemic awareness
  o vocabulary
Experience Corps as tested 2007-2008

• **Dosage:** Average of 58 sessions over school year in Port Arthur, 35 sessions in Boston

• **Demographic:** 94% enrolled in free lunch program, 58% African American, 36% Hispanic

• **Incoming ability:** \( \frac{1}{2} \) in lowest quartile reading score, 20% among the lowest 5%
Experience Corps 2007-2008 Results

• 60% more progress than peers
• Gain of 3 percentile points relative to peers
Reading Partners: Basic description

- Sites in 11 states, 14 cities
- Multi-age / life-stage volunteers
- 1 hour/week minimum service (some sites higher)
- Centrally developed curriculum and operating model
Reading Partners model: additional “Core components”

- Structured curriculum (standardized)
- Use of assessments to individualize
- “Rigorous” orientation and monthly training (for site coordinators)
- Instructional supervision and support (onsite coordinators and program managers)
- Dedicated space and use of materials
Box 3.1
Reading Center Materials

- 8 work stations
  - Chairs and tables
  - Pencils and erasers
  - Post-it® notes
  - Bookmarks
- Alphabet strip
- Additional supplies
- Bins and boxes for “Read Aloud”
- Box for completed work
- Bulletin boards for student work
- Clock
- Curricula crates
- “Read Aloud” library
- Resource table for volunteers
  - Calendar
  - Shadow session packets
  - Tutor information binder
  - Tutor resources

- Site coordinator desk
- Student boxes
  - Sight word ring
  - Student folder
  - Tutor folder
  - Word journal or reading/writing journal
  - Worksheets
- “Take-Home Reading” area
  - Book crates
  - Chart and stickers
  - Reflection forms
  - “Take-Home Reading” sign
- Tutoring schedule
- United States map
- Wall resources
- Whiteboards
- Word wall
- World map
Reading Partners as tested in 2012 - 2013

• 1,265 students in grades 2 – 5
• 19 schools in 7 metro areas (NYC, DC, + 4 CA)

• Outcomes measured:
  o reading comprehension
  o sight word efficiency
  o reading fluency
Reading Partners as tested in 2012 - 2013

- **Dosage:** 1.5 sessions per week average for 28 weeks
- **Demographic:** 91% enrolled in free lunch, 65% Hispanic, 19% Black
Results

- Similar to Experience Corps study: about 3 percentile points relative to peers
- Controls more likely to receive small group interventions, 21% in control group received one-on-one
- Students received 48 more minutes of instruction/supplemental services
Thoughts?

- Open questions:
  - How important is number of times a week, number of weeks?
  - Is the impact additive with additional years?
  - Do well-managed/staffed programs achieve better results?
  - How important is continuity of tutor?
For individual appointments

Email Evidencebased@cns.gov