FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amy Borgstrom at the Corporation for National and Community Service, 250 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20525, aborgstrom@cns.gov, phone 202–422–2781.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background


Grant recipient and subrecipient compliance with the NSCHC requirements has been an ongoing challenge. Successful implementation of the NSCHC process by grant recipients has been frustrated, in part, by access to state sources of criminal history record information, requirements of state law, and restrictions on sharing information. As such, Congressional hearings and CNCS Office of the Inspector General (OIG) reports have highlighted grantee noncompliance with this important statutory requirement.

Improving CNCS core functions—including eliminating barriers to compliance—is a primary goal of the CNCS Transformation and Sustainability Plan. In pursuit of that goal, CNCS has approved vendors for grant recipients to use to obtain the required NSCHC components. Since November 2018, CNCS grant recipients and subrecipients have had the ability to establish accounts and obtain the required National Sex Offender Public website (NSOPW), state, and FBI components of the NSCHC, through the approved vendors. Additionally, to help ensure grantee compliance with NSCHC requirements, CNCS made grant funds available for the purpose of rechecking individuals who needed to have an NSCHC conducted. And for those grant recipients who took the opportunity to ensure compliance by rechecking individuals in covered positions, CNCS announced that it would not, except in limited circumstances, take enforcement action for past noncompliance. As of September 25, 2019, 1,942 accounts were established with the new vendor resulting in 93,993 checks.

CNCS grant recipients must ensure that they identify individuals who need an NSCHC and ensure that it is done on time. The NSCHC must be conducted as a matter of law, and as a condition of receiving grant funds for individuals in covered positions working or serving under: Operational grants provided by AmeriCorps State and National, Foster Grandparent Program Grants, Senior Volunteer Program Grants, Senior Companion Program Grants, Senior Corps Demonstration Program Grants that receive funding from CNCS, Martin Luther King, Jr. Day of Service Grants, September 11th Day of Service Grants, Social Innovation Fund Grants, Volunteer Generation Fund Grants, AmeriCorps VISTA Program Grants, or AmeriCorps VISTA Support Grants.

Section 189D of the NCSA and these regulations do not apply to AmeriCorps NCCC and or AmeriCorps VISTA members, who serve in Federally-operated programs that have separate criminal history check requirements. For the purpose of NSCHC, individuals in covered positions are: The staff working under these grants, AmeriCorps State and National members, Foster Grandparents, and Senior Companion Volunteers.

II. Scope of Proposed Rule

In addition to the steps already taken to ensure that grantees have a clear path to obtaining the required NSCHC components, CNCS proposes this revision to its regulations. The intent of this revision is to recognize the impact of the availability of vendors and to reduce the complexity of the requirements. The proposed rule requires that grant recipients establish accounts, and conduct checks, through the CNCS-approved vendors. By establishing one path for obtaining compliant checks, CNCS will simplify the process and make use of technological innovations that will help CNCS and its grantees monitor and improve NSCHC compliance.

A preliminary analysis of the agency’s FY 2019 IPERIA test transactions shows that use of the vendor by CNCS grantees resolved the NSCHC component of the improper payment transactions in 88% of the transactions for which the NSCHC component rendered the payment improper.

Further, the proposed rule eliminates a distinction between the checks required for those serving vulnerable populations and those not serving vulnerable populations. All individuals in covered positions will require an NSCHC comprised of NSOPW, state, and FBI criminal history components available through the CNCS-approved vendors. In addition, the proposed rule requires that the NSCHC be conducted before an individual works or serves in a covered position—including any...
grant-funded training time. This eliminates the need for individuals to be accompanied while checks are pending. The proposed rule further clarifies which CNCS grant programs are required to comply with the NSCHC regulation and which individuals associated with a grant must have an NSCHC.

CNCS welcomes public comment on the proposed regulations, particularly on the scope of the proposed rule and its applicability to staff, volunteers, and members. CNCS’s intent is to establish systems and requirements that allow grant recipients to effectively demonstrate compliance.

III. Effective Date

CNCS expects to make the final rule effective no earlier than 30 days after publication of the final rule.

IV. Regulatory Procedures

Executive Order 12866

CNCS has determined that the rule is not an “economically significant” rule within the meaning of E.O. 12866 because it is not likely to result in: (1) An annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more, or an adverse and material effect on a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or state, local, or tribal governments and is not required under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) for major rules that are expected to have such results.

Unfunded Mandates

For purposes of Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, 2 U.S.C. 1531–1538, as well as Executive Order 12875, this regulatory action does not contain any Federal mandate that may result in increased expenditures in either Federal, state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or impose an annual burden exceeding $100 million on the private sector.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The rule specifies that specific pieces of information must be obtained and maintained in order to demonstrate compliance with the regulatory procedures.

This requirement constitutes one set of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) 44 U.S.C. 507 et seq. in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, has previously approved information collections for the NSCHC requirement. The OMB Control Number is 3045–0145.

Under the PRA, an agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collections of information display valid control numbers. This rule’s collections of information are contained in 45 CFR 2540.204 and .206.

This information is necessary to ensure that only eligible individuals serve in covered positions under CNCS grants.

The likely respondents to these collections of information are entities interested in or seeking to serve in covered positions and grant recipients.

Executive Order 13132, Federalism

Executive Order 13132, Federalism, prohibits an agency from publishing any rule that has Federalism implications if the rule imposes substantial direct compliance costs on state and local governments and is not required by statute, or the rule preempts state law, unless the agency meets the consultation and funding requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order. This rule does not have any Federalism implications, as described above.

List of Subjects

45 CFR 2522

Grant programs-social programs.

Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Volunteers.

45 CFR Part 2540

Administrative practice and procedure.

Grant programs-social programs.

Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Volunteers.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, under the authority of 42 U.S.C. 12651(c), the Corporation for National and Community Service proposes to amend chapter XXV, title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 2522—AMERICORPS PARTICIPANTS, PROGRAMS, AND APPLICANTS

1. The authority citation for Part 2522 continues to read as follows:


2. Revise §2522.205 to read as follows:

§2522.205 To whom must I apply eligibility criteria relating to criminal history?

You must apply eligibility criteria relating to criminal history to individuals specified in 45 CFR 2540.201.

PART 2540—GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Subpart B—REQUIREMENTS DIRECTLY AFFECTING THE SELECTION AND TREATMENT OF PARTICIPANTS

3. The authority citation for Part 2540 continues to read as follows:


4. Revise §2540.200 to read as follows:

§2540.200 Which entities are required to comply with the National Service Criminal History Check requirements in this part?

The National Service Criminal History Check is a requirement for entities that are recipients or subrecipients of the following grants:

(a) Operational grants provided by AmeriCorps State and National;

(b) Foster Grandparent Program Grants;

(c) Retired Senior Volunteer Program Grants;

(d) Senior Companion Program Grants;

(e) Senior Corps Demonstration Program Grants that receive funding from CNCS;
§2540.203 May a grant recipient or subrecipient or service site establish and apply suitability criteria for individuals to work or serve in a position specified in §2540.201(a)?

Grant recipients and subrecipients, or service sites, may establish suitability criteria, consistent with state and federal Civil Rights and nondiscrimination laws, for individuals working or serving in a position specified in §2540.201(a). While members may be eligible to work or serve in a position specified in §2540.201(a) based on the eligibility requirements of §2540.202, a grant recipient, subrecipient, or service site may determine that an individual is not suitable to work or serve in such a position based on criteria that the grant recipient or subrecipient or service site establishes.

§2540.204 What is a National Service Criminal History Check obtained?

(a) Unless CNCS approves a waiver under §2540.207, grant recipients or subrecipients must conduct and document a National Service Criminal History Check through CNCS-approved vendors. For each individual in a position specified in §2540.201, grantees or subgrantees must, through the CNCS-approved vendors, obtain a nationwide check of the National Sex Offender Public website, a check of the state criminal history record repository or designated alternative for the individual’s state of residence and state of service, and a fingerprint-based check of the FBI criminal history record database.

(b) In the case that a CNCS-approved vendor is not available to provide one or more of the National Service Criminal History Check components or if CNCS discontinues use of an approved vendor, CNCS will provide notice of such unavailability or discontinuation, and grant recipients or subrecipients must obtain, as appropriate, a nationwide check of the National Sex Offender Public website through NSOPW.gov, a check of the state criminal history record repository or designated alternative for the individual’s state of residence and state of service, and a fingerprint-based check of the FBI criminal history record database through the state criminal history record repository.

§2540.205 By when must the National Service Criminal History Check be completed?

(a) The National Service Criminal History Check must be conducted, reviewed, and an eligibility determination made by the grant recipient or subrecipient based on the results of the National Service Criminal History Check before a person begins to work or serve in a position specified in §2540.201(a).

(b) If a person serves consecutive terms of service with the same organization in a position specified in §2540.201(a) and does not have a break in service longer than 180 days, then no additional National Service Criminal History Check is required, as long as the original check complied with the requirements of §2540.204.

(c) Persons working or serving in positions specified in §2540.201(a) who continue working or serving in a position specified in §2540.201(a) more than 180 days after the effective date of this rule must have a National Service Criminal History Check conducted, reviewed, and an eligibility determination made by the grant recipient or subrecipient based on the results of the National Service Criminal History Check completed in accordance with this part. For these people, the National Service Criminal History Check must be completed no later than 180 days following the effective date of this rule.

§2540.206 What procedural steps are required, in addition to conducting the National Service Criminal History Check described in §2540.204?

(a) Grant recipients or subrecipients must:

(1) Obtain a person’s consent before conducting the state and FBI components of the National Service Criminal History Check;

(2) Provide notice that selection for work or service specified in §2540.201(a) is contingent upon the organization’s review of the National Service Criminal History Check component results;

(3) Provide a reasonable opportunity for the person to review and challenge the factual accuracy of a result before action is taken to exclude the person from the position;

(4) Take reasonable steps to protect the confidentiality of any information relating to the criminal history check, consistent with authorization provided by the applicant;

(5) Maintain the results of the National Service Criminal History Check components as grant records; and
§ 2540.207 Waiver.

CNCS may waive provisions of sections 2540.200–206 for good cause, or for any other lawful basis. To request a waiver, submit a written request to NSCHC Waiver Requests, 250 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20525, or send your request to NSCHC Waiver Request@cns.gov.

Dated: December 31, 2019.

Timothy Noelker,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2019–28489 Filed 1–7–20; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6050–28–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

4500030113]

RIN 1018–BC62

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Endangered Status for the Sierra Nevada Distinct Population Segment of the Sierra Nevada Red Fox

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), propose to list the Sierra Nevada Distinct Population Segment (DPS) of the Sierra Nevada red fox (Vulpes vulpes necator) as an endangered species under the Endangered Species Act (Act). This DPS of the Sierra Nevada red fox occurs along the highest elevations of the Sierra Nevada mountain range in California. If we finalize this rule as proposed, it would extend the Act’s protections to this DPS. The effect of this rule will be to add this DPS to the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife.

DATES: We will accept comments received or postmarked on or before March 9, 2020. Comments submitted electronically using the Federal eRulemaking Portal (see ADDRESSES below) must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the closing date. We must receive requests for public hearings, in writing, at the address shown in FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT by February 24, 2020.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by one of the following methods:

1. Electronically: Go to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. In the Search box, enter FWS–R8–ES–2019–0006, which is the docket number for this rulemaking. Then, click on the Search button. On the resulting page, in the Search panel on the left side of the screen, under the Document Type heading, click on the Proposed Rule box to locate this document. You may submit a comment by clicking on “Comment Now!”


We request that you send comments only by the methods described above. We will post all comments on http://www.regulations.gov. This generally means that we will post any personal information you provide us (see Information Requested, below, for more information).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Executive Summary

Why we need to publish a rule. Under the Act, if we determine that a species may be an endangered or threatened species throughout all or a significant portion of its range, we are required to promptly publish a proposal in the Federal Register and make a determination on our proposal within 1 year. To the maximum extent prudent and determinable, we must designate critical habitat for any species that we determine to be an endangered or threatened species under the Act. Listing a species as an endangered or threatened species and designation of critical habitat can only be completed by issuing a rule.

What this proposed rule does. This document proposes listing the Sierra Nevada DPS of the Sierra Nevada red fox (Vulpes vulpes necator; hereafter referred to as the Sierra Nevada red fox) as an endangered species; we determined that designating critical habitat is not prudent. The Sierra Nevada red fox is a candidate species for which we have on file sufficient information on biological vulnerability and threats to support preparation of a listing proposal, but for which development of a listing proposal was previously precluded by other higher priority listing activities. This proposed rule reassesses (since the 2015 12-month finding (October 8, 2015, 80 FR 60990)) the best available information regarding the status of and threats to the Sierra Nevada red fox.

The basis for our action. Under the Act, we can determine that a species is an endangered or threatened species based on any of five factors: (A) The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range; (B) overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes; (C) disease or predation; (D) the inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; or (E) other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence. The Sierra Nevada red fox faces the following threats: (1) Deleterious impacts associated with small population size, such as inbreeding depression and reduced genomic integrity (Factor E); (2) hybridization with nonnative red fox (Factor E); and possibly (3) reduced prey availability and competition with coyotes (Factor E) resulting from reduced snowpack levels. Existing regulatory mechanisms and conservation efforts do not address the threats to the Sierra Nevada red fox to the extent that listing the DPS is not warranted.

Peer review. In accordance with our joint policy on peer review published in the Federal Register on July 1, 1994 (59 FR 34270) and our August 22, 2016, memorandum updating and clarifying the role of peer review of listing actions under the Act, we sought the expert opinions of five appropriate specialists regarding the Species Status Assessment (SSA) report, which informed the listing portion of this proposed rule. The purpose of peer review is to ensure that our listing and critical habitat determinations are based on scientifically sound data, assumptions, and analyses. The peer reviewers have expertise in red fox biology, habitat, and stressors to the species. We received responses from two of the five peer reviewers, which we took into account in our SSA report and this proposed rule.