NOTICE OF FEDERAL FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

Federal Agency Name: Corporation for National and Community Service
Funding Opportunity Title: 2017 AmeriCorps Indian Tribes Grants
Announcement Type: Initial Announcement
CFDA Number: 94.006

Disclosure: Publication of this Announcement of Federal Funding Opportunity (Notice) does not obligate the Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS) to award any specific number of grants or to obligate any particular amount of funding.

Important Dates
- CNCS strongly encourages applicants to submit a Notification of Intent to Apply for this competition. Notifications of Intent to Apply should be submitted by Wednesday, April 19, 2017.
- Applications are due Wednesday, May 10, 2017 no later than 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time.
- Successful applicants will be notified no later than July 11, 2017.

A. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION
1. Purpose of AmeriCorps Funding
The mission of CNCS is to improve lives, strengthen communities, and foster civic participation through service and volunteering. Through AmeriCorps, Senior Corps, the Social Innovation Fund, and the Volunteer Generation Fund, CNCS has helped to engage millions of citizens in meeting community and national challenges through service and volunteer action.

AmeriCorps grants are awarded to eligible organizations (see Eligible Applicants section) proposing to engage AmeriCorps members in evidence-based or evidence-informed interventions to strengthen communities. An AmeriCorps member is an individual who engages in community service through an approved national service position. Members may receive a living allowance and other benefits while serving. Upon successful completion of their service, members earn a Segal AmeriCorps Education Award from the National Service Trust that members can use to pay for higher education expenses or apply to qualified student loans.

CNCS Focus Areas
The National and Community Service Act of 1990, as amended by the Serve America Act, emphasizes measuring the impact of service and focusing on a core set of issue areas. In order to carry out Congress’ intent and to maximize the impact of investment in national service, CNCS has the following focus areas:

Disaster Services
Grant activities will provide support to increase the preparedness of individuals for disasters, improve individuals’ readiness to respond to disasters, help individuals recover from disasters, and/or help individuals mitigate disasters. Grantees also have the ability to respond to national disasters under CNCS cooperative agreements and FEMA mission assignments.

Economic Opportunity
Grants will provide support and/or facilitate access to services and resources that contribute to the improved economic well-being and security of economically disadvantaged people; help economically disadvantaged people, including youth identified in My Brother’s Keeper (see Mandatory Supplemental Guidance) to have improved access to services that enhance financial literacy; transition into or remain in safe, healthy, affordable housing; and/or have improved employability leading to increased success in becoming employed.
Education
Grants will provide support and/or facilitate access to services and resources that contribute to improved educational outcomes for economically disadvantaged children; improved school readiness for economically disadvantaged young children; improved educational and behavioral outcomes of students in low-achieving elementary, middle, and high schools; and/or support economically disadvantaged students prepare for success in post-secondary educational institutions.

Environmental Stewardship
Grants will provide support for increased individual behavioral change leading to increased energy efficiency, renewable energy use, and ecosystem improvements particularly for economically disadvantaged households and communities. Grant activities will decrease energy and water consumption; improve at-risk ecosystems; increase behavioral changes that lead directly to decreased energy and water consumption or improved at-risk ecosystems; and/or increase green training opportunities that may lead to decreased energy and water consumption or improved at-risk ecosystems.

Healthy Futures
Grants will provide support for activities that will improve access to primary and preventive health care for communities served by CNCS-supported programs; increase seniors’ ability to remain in their own homes with the same or improved quality of life for as long as possible; and/or increase physical activity and improve nutrition in youth with the purpose of reducing childhood obesity.

Veterans and Military Families
Grants will positively impact the quality of life of veterans and improve military family strength; increase the number of veterans, military service members, and their families served by CNCS-supported programs; and/or increase the number of veterans and military family members engaged in service through CNCS-supported programs.

2. Funding Priorities (See Mandatory Supplemental Guidance for further information about some of the priorities)
CNCS seeks to prioritize the investment of national service resources in:

- Disaster Services - improving community resiliency through disaster preparation, response, recovery, and mitigation
- Economic Opportunity - increasing economic opportunities for communities by engaging opportunity youth, either as the population served and/or as AmeriCorps members
- Education - improving student academic performance in Science, Technology, Engineering, and/or Mathematics (STEM), and/or serving students who attend Bureau of Indian Education (BIE) schools
- Healthy Futures - Reducing and/or Preventing substance abuse and suicide. (see Mandatory Supplemental Guidance)
- Veterans and Military Families - positively impacting the quality of life of veterans and improving military family strength

In order to receive priority consideration and three points for submitting an application within a Notice priority(ies), applicants must demonstrate that the priority area is a significant part of the program focus and intended outcomes and must include a high quality program design. Responses that propose programs for the purpose of receiving priority consideration are not guaranteed funding.

3. National Performance Measures
CNCS expects applicants to use National Performance Measures as part of their comprehensive performance measurement strategy that relies on both performance and evaluation data to learn from their work as well as make tactical and strategic adjustments to achieve their goals. For more information, please refer to the National Performance Measure Instructions [http://www.nationalservice.gov/build-your-capacity/grants/funding-opportunities].
All applications must include at least one aligned performance measure (output and outcome) that corresponds to the proposed primary intervention. CNCS does not expect applicants to select performance measures to correspond to each and every potential member activity or community impact. CNCS values the quality of performance measures over the quantity of performance measures. As described in the Application Instructions, applicants must include all their information about their proposed performances measure in the Performance Measure section of the applications in eGrants (CNCS’s web-based grants management system). All information requested in the National Performance Measure Instructions must be included in the text of the performance measures themselves, and it must be evident in the performance measure text that all definitions and requirements outlined in the National Performance Measures Instructions are met. Providing performance measures information elsewhere in the narrative cannot be in lieu of providing full information in the Performance Measures section of the application in eGrants.

4. Program Authority
CNCS’s legal authority to award these grants is found in the National and Community Service Act of 1990, as amended, (NCSA) (42 U.S.C. 12501 et seq.)

B. FEDERAL AWARD INFORMATION
1. Estimated Available Funds
CNCS expects a highly competitive AmeriCorps grant competition. CNCS reserves the right to prioritize providing funding to existing awards over making new awards. The actual level of funding will be subject to the availability of annual appropriations.

2. Estimated Award Amount
Grant awards have two components: operating funds and AmeriCorps member positions. Grant award amounts vary – both in the level of operating funds and in the type and amount of AmeriCorps member positions – as determined by the scope of the projects.

3. Project and Award Period
The project period is generally one year with a start date proposed by the applicant. The grant award covers a three-year project period unless otherwise specified. In most cases, the application is submitted with a one-year budget. Continuation funding for subsequent years is not guaranteed and shall be dependent upon availability of appropriations and satisfactory performance.

The project start date may not occur prior to the date CNCS awards the grant. AmeriCorps members may not enroll prior to the start date of the award. AmeriCorps members may not begin service prior to the beginning of the member enrollment period as designated in the grant award. A program may not certify any hours a member performs prior to the beginning of the member enrollment period.

4. Type of Award
a. AmeriCorps Operating Grants: CNCS may award a Cost Reimbursement or a Fixed Amount grant to any successful applicant, but the availability of a Full Time Fixed Amount grant is limited to certain applicants. See the Eligible Applicants section and the Mandatory Supplemental Guidance for more information. CNCS will not provide both types of grants for the same project in one fiscal year.
### General Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Types</th>
<th>Cost Reimbursement</th>
<th>Fixed Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Available Subtypes</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Professional Corps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum Cost per MSY</strong></td>
<td>$13,830</td>
<td>Up to $1,000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of Slots in the National Service Trust</strong></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Budget Submission Required</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Availability of Funds linked to enrollment and retention of awarded MSYs</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Requirements</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Must place qualified professionals in communities with an inadequate number of such professionals. Member salaries must be paid entirely by organization where member serves and not included in budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial Reporting Requirements</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes; Living allowance or salary paid to members may not be counted toward the match requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Available to new Applicants</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CNCS assumes that Professional Corps will cover the operating expenses associated with the AmeriCorps program through non-CNCS funds and thus will not be requesting operating funds as part of their applications. CNCS will consider Professional Corps programs’ requests for operating funds of up to $1,000 per MSY if an applicant is able to demonstrate in its narrative and supporting budget materials significant legal applicant financial need and substantial challenges to raising non-CNCS resources.

CNCS will determine whether a Professional Corps legal applicant has sufficiently demonstrated that they cannot effectively operate an AmeriCorps program without receiving CNCS operating funds on a case-by-case basis, examining all of the circumstances surrounding the application. There are a wide variety of circumstances under which a Professional Corps legal applicant will be able to demonstrate a compelling need for operating funds. However, given the high demand for AmeriCorps funding there are also specific circumstances where CNCS believes that a Professional Corps legal applicant will be highly unlikely to demonstrate a need for operational funds. For example, where CNCS’s due diligence review of a Professional Corps application shows that the applicant has a historically high level of support from non-CNCS sources, and as a result has levels of unrestricted net assets that are well in excess of the requested level of CNCS funding, it is difficult to see how the Professional Corps would be able to demonstrate that they should receive operational funding (which would inherently reduce the funding available to other high-quality applicants).

b. Planning Grants: CNCS is seeking applications for planning grants that will be used to develop new national service models.
C. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

1. Eligible Applicants

Only Federally-recognized Indian Tribes (as defined in 2 C.F.R. §200.54) and tribal organizations controlled, sanctioned, or chartered by Indian Tribes are eligible to apply. An entity applying on behalf of a federally-recognized tribe, or multiple specific federally-recognized tribes, must submit a sanctioning resolution, applicable for the entirety of the period of performance that the applicant is applying for, adopted by the Tribal Council (or comparable tribal governing body) of each Indian Tribe. The resolution(s) must identify the entity by name as a tribal organization and indicate whether it is controlled, sanctioned, or chartered by an Indian Tribe(s). It must authorize the entity to be the legal applicant and act on behalf of and include the Indian Tribe(s) in a CNCS application for the purpose of conducting the activities and providing the services described in the application. A current resolution will not suffice to meet this requirement unless the resolution applies for the entire period of performance of the award, i.e. current resolutions that are applicable to a previous AmeriCorps award at the time of the application submission, but expire during the prospective period of performance of a new award, do not meet this requirement.

Previously receiving funds from CNCS or another federal agency is not a prerequisite to apply to this Notice.

New Applicants

CNCS encourages organizations that have not received prior funding from CNCS to apply. The general practice is to award at least 20 member positions (slots) but no more than 50 member positions (slots) to organizations receiving an AmeriCorps grant for the first time.

New applicants are eligible to apply for Cost Reimbursement and Planning grants but are not eligible to apply for Full Time Fixed Amount grants. See Mandatory Supplemental Guidance for more information.

2. Threshold Issues

Applications should reflect that they meet the following threshold requirements for the grant type for which they are applying.

- If an applicant is applying for a Professional Corps grant, its application must demonstrate that the community in which it will place AmeriCorps members serving as professionals has an inadequate number of said professionals.
- If an applicant is applying for a Full Time Fixed Amount grant, the application must reflect that only full-time or less than full-time positions serving in a full-time capacity will be permitted.
- If the proposed service activities require specialized member qualifications and/or training (for example, tutoring programs: 45 C.F.R. §2522.910-.940), the applicant must describe how the program will meet these requirements.

3. Other Requirements

Under section 132A(b) of the NCSA, organizations that have been convicted of a federal crime may not receive assistance described in this Notice.

Note that under section 745 of Title VII, Division E of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, if CNCS is aware that any corporation has any unpaid Federal tax liability which—

- has been assessed
- for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and
- that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability, that corporation is not eligible for an award under this Notice.¹

A similar restriction may be enacted with the appropriation which will fund awards under this Notice.

¹ However, this exclusion will not apply to a corporation which a Federal agency has considered for suspension or debarment and has made a determination that suspension or debarment is not necessary to protect the interests of the Federal Government.
Pursuant to the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, an organization described in Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, 26 U.S.C. 501(c)(4) that engages in lobbying activities is not eligible to apply for CNCS funding.

D. APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION
This Notice should be read together with the AmeriCorps Regulations, 45 C.F.R. §§ 2520–2550, the Mandatory Supplemental Guidance, Application Instructions, and the Performance Measure Instructions which are incorporated by reference. These documents can be found at http://www.nationalservice.gov/build-your-capacity/grants/funding-opportunities. The full Regulations are available online at www.ecfr.gov.

1. Address to Request Application Package
Applicants should refer to CNCS Funding Opportunities to obtain the necessary information to apply. Applicants can also send an email to americorpsgrants@cns.gov or call (202) 606-7508 for a printed copy of the application materials. The TTY (Text Telephone) number is (800) 833-3722.

2. Content and Form of Application Submission
In eGrants, applicants will enter the following components of a complete application:

- Standard Form 424 (SF-424) Face Sheet: This is automatically generated when applicants complete the data elements in the eGrants system
- Narratives
  - Executive Summary
  - Program Design
  - Organizational Capability
  - Cost Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy
  - Evaluation Plan
- Logic Model
- Standard Form 424 Budget
- Performance Measures
- Authorization, Assurances, and Certification

3. Dun and Bradstreet University Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM)
Applications must include a DUNS number and an Employer Identification Number. The DUNS number does not replace an Employer Identification Number. DUNS numbers may be obtained at no cost by calling the DUNS number request line at (866) 705-5711 or by applying online: DUNS Request Service. CNCS recommends registering at least 30 days before the application due date.

After obtaining a DUNS number, all applicants must register with the SAM and maintain an active SAM registration until the application process is complete. If an applicant is awarded a grant, it must maintain an active SAM registration throughout the life of the award.

SAM registration must be renewed annually. CNCS suggests finalizing a new registration or renewing an existing one at least two weeks before the application deadline to allow time to resolve any issues that may arise. Applicants must use their SAM-registered legal name and address on all grant applications to CNCS.

Applicants that do not comply with these requirements may become ineligible to receive an award. See the SAM Quick Guide for Grantees.
4. Submission Dates and Times
   a. Notification of Intent to Apply
      CNCS strongly encourages applicants to submit a Notification of Intent to Apply by Wednesday, April 19, 2017. Eligibility is not based on submission of Notification of Intent to Apply. Please send the intent by using this link: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/2017ACTribesIntent. All Notifications of Intent to Apply will receive an email response acknowledging receipt.

   b. Application Submission Deadline
      Applications are due Wednesday, May 10, 2017 by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time to CNCS. This deadline applies to new, recompeting, and continuation applicants. CNCS reserves the right to extend the submission deadline and any notice of such extended deadline will be posted on CNCS’s website.

   c. Additional Documents Deadline
      Any required additional documents are due on the application deadline. See Submission of Additional Documents section for other guidance.

   d. Late Applications
      All applications received after the submission deadline published in the Notice are presumed to be non-compliant. In order to overcome this presumption, the applicant must:
      - provide a written explanation or justification of the extenuating circumstance(s) that caused the delay, including:
        - the timing and specific cause(s) of the delay
        - the ticket number if a request for assistance was submitted to the National Service Hotline
        - any information provided to the applicant by the National Service Hotline
        - any other documentation or evidence that supports the justification
      - ensure that CNCS receives the justification and any other evidence that substantiates the claimed extenuating circumstance(s) via email to LateApplications@cns.gov no later than one business day after the published application deadline, or as stated in the Notice.

Communication with CNCS staff, including an applicant’s program officer, is not a substitute for the letter. Applicants are required to continue working in eGrants, CNCS’s web-based system and with the National Service Hotline to submit the application. CNCS will determine whether or not to accept a late application on a case-by-case basis.

Please note: CNCS will not consider an advance request to submit a late application. Applicants are encouraged to continue working on their application until it is submitted. If you are experiencing technical difficulties, please carefully review and follow the guidance listed above.

5. Funding Restrictions
   a. Member Living Allowance
      A living allowance is not considered a salary or a wage. Programs are not required to provide a living allowance for members serving in less than full-time terms of service. If a program chooses to provide a living allowance to a less than full-time member, it must comply with the maximum limits in the table below. For Cost Reimbursement grants, the amount must be included in the proposed budget as either CNCS or grantee share. Exceptions are noted below.

      While Fixed Amount grant applicants are not required to submit detailed budgets, they are still required to provide a living allowance to members that complies with the minimum and maximum requirements. Exceptions noted below. Most Fixed Amount grant applicants are not required to indicate that amount in the application and should request those positions as “without living allowance” in the budget.
### Table: Minimum and Maximum Living Allowance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Term</th>
<th>Minimum # of Hours</th>
<th>Minimum Living Allowance</th>
<th>Maximum Total Living Allowance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full-time</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>$12,630</td>
<td>$24,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-time</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$13,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced Half-time</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$9,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter-time</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$6,599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum-time</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$4,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exceptions to the Living Allowance Requirements**

1. **Programs existing prior to September 21, 1993** are not required to offer a living allowance. If an applicant chooses to offer a living allowance, it is exempt from the minimum requirement, but not the maximum requirement.

2. **Professional Corps Grantees** must provide members a living allowance or salary, which must meet the minimum, but may exceed the maximum living allowance set in the Living Allowance Table above. Professional Corps member salaries are paid entirely by the organizations with which the members serve, and are not included in the budget.

### Table: Maximum Cost per MSY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Program</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual Competitive AmeriCorps Indian Tribe Program (Cost Reimbursement)</td>
<td>$13,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AmeriCorps Indian Tribe Professional Corps Grant (Cost Reimbursement)</td>
<td>Up to $1,000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulltime Fixed Amount Grant</td>
<td>$13,430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CNCS’s assumption is that Professional Corps will cover the operating expenses associated with the AmeriCorps program through non CNCS funds and thus will not be requesting operating funds as part of their applications. CNCS will consider operating funds of up to $1,000 per MSY if an applicant is able to demonstrate in its narrative and supporting budget materials significant organizational financial need and substantial challenges to raising non CNCS resources.

At its discretion, CNCS may award a grant with a cost per MSY at a higher level than published in this Notice. CNCS will not award a grant with a cost per MSY that exceeds $19,500. Applicants requesting a higher cost per MSY must include a compelling case justifying the cost per MSY and explaining why these costs cannot be covered by the Grantee Share (Match).

### c. Amount of the Segal AmeriCorps Education Award for FY 2017

AmeriCorps members serving in programs funded with FY 2017 dollars who successfully complete a term of service will receive an Education Award from the National Service Trust of $5,815.00 for a year of full-time service, with correspondingly smaller awards for less than full-time service. The amount of the Education Award is
linked to the value of the Pell Grant. A member has up to seven years after his or her term of service to use the Education Award.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term of Service</th>
<th>Minimum # of Hours</th>
<th>Education Award</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>$5,815.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half Time</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>$2,907.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced Half Time</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>$2,215.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter Time</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>$1,538.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Time</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>$1,230.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table: Term of Service and Education Award**

d. Cost Sharing or Matching

**Fixed Amount Grants**

There is no specific match requirement for Fixed Amount grants. CNCS does not provide all the funds necessary to operate the program; therefore organizations must raise the additional revenue required to operate the program.

**Cost Reimbursement Grants**

A first-time successful applicant is required to match at 24 percent for the first three-year funding period. Starting with year four, the match requirement gradually increases every year to 50 percent by year ten, according to the minimum overall share chart found in 45 C.F.R. §2521.60 and below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AmeriCorps Funding Year</th>
<th>1, 2, 3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grantee Share Requirements</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 121(e)(5) of NCSA (42 U.S.C. 12571(e)) requires programs that use other federal funds as match for an AmeriCorps grant to report the amount and source of these funds to CNCS on a Federal Financial Report. Grantees must track and be prepared to report on that match separately each year and at closeout.

The living allowance or salary provided to AmeriCorps members in Professional Corps programs (see Mandatory Supplemental Guidance) does not count toward the matching requirement.

**Alternative Match**

Under certain circumstances, applicants may qualify to meet alternative matching requirements that increase over the years to 35 percent instead of 50 percent as specified in the regulations at 45 C.F.R. §2521.60(b). To qualify, applicants must demonstrate that the proposed program is either located in a rural county or in a severely economically distressed community as defined in the Application Instructions. Tribal Applicants that plan to request an alternative match schedule must submit a request at the time the application is submitted directly to ACAlternateMatchRequests@cns.gov.

**Match Waiver Requests**

CNCS can waive the matching requirements if doing so would be equitable due to a lack of available financial resources at the local level. In accordance with Executive Order 13175, “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments,” CNCS will consider requests to waive the matching requirements for Indian tribes or tribal organizations with a general view toward increasing opportunities for utilizing flexible policy approaches at the Indian tribal level. Indian tribes and tribal organizations must submit any requests for waivers in writing to tribalmatchwaivers@cns.gov.

The request for a waiver of a match requirement for an NCSA grant program shall be submitted in writing and addressed to the Office of Grants Management. The waiver must include the following:
• A statement that the applicant’s match requirement cannot be met due to a lack of available financial resources at the local level.
• A concise explanation of what steps the applicant has taken to raise match or ascertain its future ability to raise match.
• A statement of how much of the match has been/is expected to be raised.
• A request for a specific amount of match to be waived.
• Any other information that may be helpful in considering this application.

Upon receipt of an application for a waiver of a match requirement the assigned grants official in the Office of Grants Management shall review the request, seeking clarification from the applicant as needed. If the waiver is approved in whole or in part, the assigned grants official shall:
• Ask the applicant to revise the budget in eGrants to reflect the new match amount.
• Execute an amendment to the award, if needed.
• Document the decision in the official grant file.
• Notify the applicant in writing of the decision (this can be incorporated into the Notice of Grant Award for new awards).

e. Indirect Costs
CNCS allows applicants to include indirect costs in application budgets. Based on qualifying factors, applicants have the option of using a federally approved indirect cost rate, a 10% de minimis rate of modified total direct costs, or may claim certain costs directly as outlined in 2 C.F.R. § 200.413 Direct costs. Indian Tribes may use approved cost allocation plans. All methods must be applied consistently across federal awards. Applicants who hold a federal negotiated indirect cost rate or will be using the 10% de minimis rate must enter that information in the Organization section in eGrants. However, under section 121(d) of the NCSA and CNCS’s regulations at 45 C.F.R. 2517.710, no more than five percent of award funds may be used to recover indirect costs on AmeriCorps grants.

f. Pre-Award Costs
Pre-award costs may be allowed with prior approval from the Office of Grants Management. Successful applicants, once notified by CNCS, may request pre-award costs via communication through their Grants Officer.

6. Other Submission Requirements

a. Electronic Application Submission in eGrants
Applicants must submit applications electronically via eGrants, CNCS’s web-based system. CNCS recommends that applicants create an eGrants account and begin the application at least three weeks before the deadline. Applicants should draft the application as a word processing document, then copy and paste the text into the appropriate eGrants field no later than 10 days before the deadline. Please note the length of a document in word processing software may be different than what will print out in eGrants. When determining compliance with any page limits in this Notice, CNCS will consider the number of pages as they print out per the guidance in the Page Limits section.

The applicant’s authorized representative must be the person who submits the application. The authorized representative must be using eGrants under his or her own account in order to sign and submit the application. A copy of the governing body’s authorization for this official representative to sign must be on file in the applicant’s office.

Contact the National Service Hotline at (800) 942-2677 or via eGrants Questions if a problem arises when creating an account or preparing or submitting the application. National Service hours are: Monday through Thursday, 9 a.m. to 7 p.m. EST (Friday: closed). Be prepared to provide the application ID, organization’s name, and the Notice
to which the organization is applying. If the issue cannot be resolved by the deadline, applicants must continue working with the National Service Hotline to submit via eGrants.

**The Hotline is closed on all federal holidays.**

If extenuating circumstances make it impossible for an applicant to submit in eGrants, applicants may send a paper copy of the application via overnight carrier to the following address:

Corporation for National and Community Service  
ATTN: Office of Grants Policy and Operations/AmeriCorps Application  
250 E Street, SW, Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20525

Please use a non-U.S. Postal Service carrier to avoid security-related delays. All deadlines and requirements in this *Notice* also apply to paper applications. Paper applications must include a cover letter detailing the circumstances that make it impossible to submit via eGrants. CNCS does not accept applications submitted via fax or email.

**b. Submission of Additional Documents**

Applicants are required to submit the following additional documents by the application submission deadline:

All applicants
- Current indirect rate cost agreement, if used to claim indirect/administrative costs. This is submitted in eGrants.
- Evaluation briefs, reports, studies. Please refer to the *Evidence Base* section for detailed instructions by evidence tier.

Recompeting applications
- Evaluation report, if required. Please see the *Evidence Base* section for further information.

Entities applying on behalf of a Federally Recognized Tribe
- Sanctioning resolution adopted by the tribal council of each Indian Tribe. (See the *Eligible Applicants* section.)

Additional Documents must be emailed to AdditionalDocuments@cns.gov with the following subject line: [Legal Applicant Name] – [Application ID number]. Emails should include the following information:
- the legal applicant name and its point of contact information
- the application ID number
- a list of documents that should be attached to the email, and
- individually attached files that are clearly labeled

The attached files must include the legal applicant name and application ID number within the body of each document.

Do not submit other items not requested in this *Notice* or Application Instructions. CNCS will not review or return them.

**c. Page Limits**

Applications may not exceed 15 pages for the Narratives.

In determining whether an application complies with page limits, CNCS will include:
- the SF-424 Face Sheet
- the application’s Executive Summary
- the Narrative portions contained in the Program Design, Organizational Capacity, and Cost Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy sections of the application.
CNCS will consider the number of pages only as they print out from the “Review” tab in eGrants (where you will see the “View/Print your application” heading) when determining compliance for page limits. CNCS will not consider the results of any alternative printing methods in determining whether an application complies with the applicable page limits. CNCS strongly encourages applicants to print out the application from the “Review” tab prior to submission to check that the application does not exceed the page limit. The application page limit does not include the Budget, narrative portion of the Evaluation Plan, the Logic Model, performance measures, or the supplementary materials, if applicable. However, the Logic Model may not exceed three pages when printed with the application from the “Review” tab in eGrants.

Please note the length of a document in word processing software may be different than what will print out in eGrants. Reviewers will not consider submitted material that is over the page limits in the printed report. Also note that eGrants will not prevent an applicant from entering text which will exceed page limitations. This applies to both the application page limit and the Logic Model page limit. Do not submit other items not requested in this Notice or Application Instructions. CNCS will not review or return them.

E. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

1. Criteria
Each applicant must describe a project that will deploy AmeriCorps members effectively to solve a significant community problem. CNCS urges applicants to submit high quality applications that carefully follow the guidance in this Notice and in the Application Instructions. The quality of an application will be an important factor in determining whether an organization will receive funding.

A. Executive Summary (Required - 0 percent)
Please fill in the blanks of these sentences to complete the Executive Summary. Do not deviate from the template below.

The [Name of the tribe/tribal organization] proposes to have [Number of] AmeriCorps members who will [service activities the members will be doing] in [the locations the AmeriCorps members will serve]. At the end of the first program year, the AmeriCorps members will be responsible for [anticipated outcome of project]. In addition, the AmeriCorps members will leverage an additional [number of leveraged volunteers, if applicable] who will be engaged in [what the leveraged volunteers will be doing.]

This program will focus on the CNCS focus area(s) of [Focus Area(s)].* The CNCS investment of $[amount of request] will be matched with $[amount of projected match], $[amount of local, state, and federal funds] in public funding and $[amount of non-governmental funds] in private funding.

*If the program is not operating in a CNCS focus area, omit this sentence.

Fixed Amount grant applicants should list their Other Revenue (see Mandatory Supplemental Guidance) because they are not required to provide a specific amount of match, but still must raise significant additional resources to operate the program. CNCS will post all Executive Summaries of awarded grant applications on www.nationalservice.gov in the interest of transparency and Open Government.

B. Program Design (50 percent)
Reviewers will consider the quality of the application’s response to the criteria below. Do not assume all sub-criteria are of equal value.

1. Need (2 points)
• The community need is prevalent and severe in communities where members will serve and has been documented with relevant data.
“Community” can be a geographic region, a specific population of people, or a combination of both. The applicant organization must document the need it plans to address with its proposed program, whether it is a defined geographic community or a subset of individuals living in a particular area.

2. Intervention (3 points)
   - The proposed intervention is clearly described.
   - The proposed intervention aligns with the identified community need.

3. Theory of Change and Logic Model (19 points)
   The Theory of Change shall address:
   - The applicant’s proposed intervention is clearly articulated including the design, target population, and roles of AmeriCorps members and (if applicable) leveraged volunteers.
   - The applicant’s intervention is likely to lead to the outcomes identified in the applicant’s theory of change. The theory of change should be either evidence-informed or evidence-based, meaning that the proposed intervention is guided by the best available research evidence that supports its effectiveness, as described in the Evidence Base section below.
   - The proposed outcomes articulated in the application narrative and Logic Model represent meaningful progress in addressing the community need identified by the applicant. Applicants should provide rationale for selecting output and outcome targets. Note, recompeting applicants proposing to significantly increase or decrease output and outcome targets from their previous grant must provide a justification for this change.
   - The applicant’s AmeriCorps members will produce significant contributions to existing efforts to address the stated problem.

   The Logic Model shall depict:
   - A summary of the community problem/need outlined in the narrative.
   - The inputs or resources that are necessary to deliver the intervention, including but not limited to:
     - Number of locations or sites in which members will provide services
     - Number of AmeriCorps members that will deliver the intervention
   - The core activities that define the intervention or program model that members will implement or deliver, including:
     - The duration of the intervention (e.g., the total number of weeks, sessions or months of the intervention)
     - The dosage of the intervention (e.g., the number of hours per session or sessions per week)
     - The target population for the intervention (e.g., disconnected youth, third graders at a certain reading proficiency level)
   - The measurable outputs that result from delivering the intervention (i.e. number of beneficiaries served.) If applicable, identify which National Performance Measures will be used as output indicators
   - Outcomes that demonstrate changes in knowledge/skill, attitude, behavior, or condition that occur as a result of the intervention. If applicable, identify which National Performance Measures will be used as outcome indicators.

   Programs may include short, medium, or long-term outcomes in the Logic Model. While performance measure outcomes should be consistent with the program’s theory of change, programs are not required to measure all outcomes that are included in the Logic Model.

   Applicants with multiple interventions should complete one Logic Model chart which incorporates each intervention. Logic model content that exceeds three pages will not be reviewed.

4. Evidence Base (12 points)
   Applicants will be awarded up to 12 points for providing evidence that their proposed intervention will lead to the outcomes identified in the logic model. Applicants must 1) state the evidence tier in which they think they qualify; 2) clearly indicate and describe the evidence that supports the highest evidence tier for which they are eligible and 3) describe the complete body of evidence that supports their program intervention including evidence from lower
Applicants should pay particular attention to the requirements for each tier of evidence. More points are awarded for higher tiers of evidence.

Applicants classifying their evidence as preliminary who propose to replicate an identical or similar evidence-based intervention, as well as applicants classifying their evidence as either moderate or strong evidence should reference the information about the evidence tiers provided below. Submission of additional documents (e.g., advocacy pieces, policy briefs, other narratives not research studies or program evaluations) that are not consistent with the guidance and requirements described in the Notice will not be reviewed.

All applicants must include as much detailed information as possible in the Evidence section of the application. Applicants that have collected relevant performance measurement data must describe this data. Applicants that have conducted evaluations of their own program or that are replicating other evidence-based programs must describe these evaluations as outlined in the evidence tier descriptions. Applicants are advised to focus on presenting high-quality evidence from up to two of the strongest and most relevant studies while also summarizing the remaining body of evidence that exists for the program. Studies must be evaluations of specific programs or interventions. Research that does not focus on a specific program or intervention, but rather focuses on a broader issue area or population, will not be considered applicable and will not be reviewed or receive any points.

When describing research studies or evaluations in the application narrative, applicants must include the following information in order to earn points:

1) The date the research or evaluation was completed, and the time period for which the intervention was examined
2) A description that shows the study’s relevance to the proposed intervention
3) A description of the target population studied (e.g. the demographics)
4) The methodology used in the study (e.g.; outcome study, random assignment, regression discontinuity design, propensity score matching, etc.)
5) A description of the data, data source, and data collection methods
6) The outcomes or impacts examined and the study findings
7) The strength of the findings (e.g. effect size, confidence level, statistical power of the study design and statistical significance of findings).

Applicants must provide this information in the narrative even if they submit the study or evaluation. Applicants should provide citations for the studies they describe, if applicable; however, reviewers will not review any links or cited documents referenced in the application and will not review any additional documents that is not a study submitted in accordance with the Notice instructions.

Reviewers will examine the descriptions (and attached studies if relevant) using the following criteria:

a) How closely the intervention evaluated in the studies matches the one proposed by the applicant;

b) The methodological quality and rigor of the studies presented (e.g., sample size and statistical power, internal and/or external validity, use of control or equivalent comparison groups, baseline equivalence and study attrition, etc.);

c) Strength and consistency of the findings, with preference given to findings that show a meaningful and persistent positive effect on participants demonstrated with confidence levels; and

d) The date of the study, with a preference towards studies that have been conducted within the last six years.

If the evidence submitted as part of an application does not describe a well-designed and well-implemented evaluation, the applicant may be considered for a lower tier of evidence and related point values. Applicants must meet all requirements of the evidence tier in order to be considered for that tier. Applicants that do not meet all requirements will be considered for a lower tier.

Requirements associated with the five evidence tiers are described next.
No evidence (0 points) means that the applicant has not provided evidence that they have systematically collected any qualitative or quantitative data to date.

Applicants in this tier must describe how their program design is evidence-informed. An evidence-informed program uses the best available knowledge, research and evaluation to guide program design and implementation, but does not have scientific research or rigorous evaluation of the program itself. Applicants may describe up to two research or evaluation studies that inform their program design but may not submit these studies.

Pre-preliminary evidence (1-2 points) means the applicant has demonstrated data collection experience testing or tracking at least one aspect of its logic model. For example, the applicant has collected systemic and accurate data on one or more of the following: the community need the proposed intervention will address, the program intervention’s activities and services delivered, participation in the intervention by the target population, and/or participant outcomes (for example, performance measurement data or a process evaluation assessing implementation of the intervention.)

In order to qualify for this tier, the applicant must have collected data about their own program. The data collection process and results must be described fully and the applicant should explain the link between data collection and the relevant component(s) of its logic model. Applicants should describe evidence for the pre-preliminary tier in the Evidence section of the application but should not submit additional documents unless required to meet CNCS evaluation requirements.

Preliminary evidence (3-6 points) means the applicant has provided data from at least one outcome study of their own intervention that yielded promising results for the proposed intervention or that the applicant proposes to replicate a similar intervention with fidelity to the evaluated program model. The ways to demonstrate preliminary level of evidence are as follows:

Preliminary with Outcome Study The applicant must describe at least one outcome study that was conducted of their own intervention. This must include a detailed description of the outcome study data from pre- and post-tests without a comparison group or post-test comparison between intervention and comparison groups. In some cases a retrospective pre-post test may be considered, but its use must be justified. This description should explain whether the outcome study was conducted internally by the applicant organization or by an entity external to the applicant. Applicants must describe the studies fully but should not submit them unless required to meet CNCS evaluation requirements. Outcome evaluations that are submitted to fulfill the CNCS evaluation requirements will be reviewed only for compliance with evaluation requirements. Outcome evaluations submitted by applicants who were not required to meet CNCS evaluation requirements will not be considered when assessing evidence tier.

An outcome study includes data beyond that which is collected as part of routine performance measurement. In addition to describing up to two outcome studies of their own program or intervention, applicants must describe the performance measurement data they have collected and how the outcome study data goes beyond performance measurement.

Preliminary with Replication
- The applicant must describe and submit at least one randomized controlled trial study or quasi-experimental evaluation (e.g. propensity score matching) that found positive results for the same intervention that the applicant plans to replicate. The applicant must describe how the intervention studied and the applicant’s proposed approach are the same and how the applicant will replicate the intervention with fidelity to the program model. The study must have been conducted by an entity external to the organization whose program was studied. An applicant may be eligible for more points if they also submit evidence from a process evaluation demonstrating that they have implemented the replication with fidelity. The process evaluation should be described but not submitted.

- Applicants who do not submit the required study or who do not describe fully how they are replicating the evidence-based program with fidelity will be considered for a lower tier.
For the purposes of this Notice, “replicate” means that the key elements of the applicant’s intervention are implemented as the evidence-based program model describes (e.g., in terms of content or curriculum, delivery process, and target population), and the applicant’s adaptations are relatively minor. For example, an applicant implementing an intervention using certified teachers to administer the curriculum would not be considered replicating that program with fidelity if it replaces teachers with AmeriCorps members who are not certified teachers, because the documented success of the intervention relied on the specialization of certified teachers.

Applicants proposing to replicate an evidence-based program with fidelity must describe how their program is the same as, or very similar to, the program they will replicate in the following areas:

- Characteristics of the beneficiary population
- Characteristics of the population delivering the intervention
- Dosage (frequency, duration) and design of the intervention
- Training for the AmeriCorps members and/or other individuals, such as volunteers, delivering the intervention
- The context in which the intervention is delivered
- Outcomes of the intervention

Applicants must also describe how they will assess whether they are implementing the intervention with fidelity to the intervention they are replicating.

In cases where the applicant is part of a multi-site program (for example, a national program operating in multiple states) that has conducted an evaluation that qualifies them for the moderate or strong evidence tier, but the evaluation did not include the applicant’s proposed sites, the applicant must describe how they are replicating the evidence-based program with fidelity at all of the sites included in the application. In this case, an application for sites included in the evaluation would receive moderate or strong evidence, and an application for the sites not included in the evaluation would receive preliminary evidence.

**Moderate evidence** (7-9 points) means the applicant has submitted up to two well-designed and well-implemented studies of their own program that evaluated the same intervention described in this application and identified evidence of effectiveness on one or more key desired outcomes of interest as depicted in the applicant’s logic model. Evidence of effectiveness (or positive findings) is determined using experimental design evaluations (i.e., Randomized Controlled Trials (RCT)) or quasi-experimental design evaluations (QED) with statistically matched comparison (i.e., counterfactual) and treatment groups. The ability to generalize the findings from the RCT or QED beyond the study context may be limited (e.g., single-site). The studies were conducted by an independent entity external to the organization implementing the intervention.

Applicants classifying their evidence as **Moderate** must submit up to two evaluation reports from external entities or evaluations published in peer-reviewed articles as separate attachments.

CNCS grantees recompeting for their third competitive grant cycle are required to submit an evaluation report of their CNCS funded program. The CNCS-required evaluation report may count towards one of the two studies required for the Moderate evidence tier or may be submitted in addition to this. In the latter case, all three studies will be considered against the review criteria.

If the applicant is not required to submit an evaluation report of their CNCS funded program, then more than two studies will not be considered.

**Strong evidence** (10-12 points) means the applicant has demonstrated that the intervention described in the application has been tested nationally, regionally, or at the state-level (e.g., multi-site) using a well-designed and well-implemented QED or RCT of their own program. Alternatively, the proposed intervention’s evidence may be
based on multiple (up to two) well-designed and well-implemented QEDs or RCTs of their own program in
different locations or with different populations within a local geographic area. The overall pattern of study findings
is consistently positive on the key desired outcomes of interest as depicted in the applicant’s logic model. Findings
from the RCT or QED studies may be generalized beyond the study context. The studies were conducted by an
independent entity external to the organization implementing the intervention.

Applicants classifying their evidence as Strong must submit up to two evaluation reports from external entities or
evaluations published in peer-reviewed articles as separate attachments.

CNCS grantees recompeting for their third competitive grant cycle are required to submit an evaluation report of
their CNCS funded program. The CNCS-required evaluation report may count towards one of the two studies
required for the Strong evidence tier or may be submitted in addition to this. In the latter case, all three studies will
be considered against the review criteria.

If the applicant is not required to submit an evaluation report of their CNCS funded program, then more than two
studies will not be considered.

5. Notice Priority (3 points)
   • The applicant proposed program fits within one or more of the 2017 AmeriCorps funding priorities as outlined
     in the Funding Priorities section and more fully described in the Mandatory Supplemental Guidance.
   • The proposed program meets all of the requirements detailed in the Funding Priorities section and in the
     Mandatory Supplemental Guidance.

6. Member Training (4 points)
   • AmeriCorps members will receive high quality training to provide effective service.
   • AmeriCorps members and volunteers will be aware of, and will adhere to AmeriCorps requirements including
     the rules regarding prohibited activities (see Mandatory Supplemental Guidance).

7. Member Supervision (2 points)
   • AmeriCorps members will receive sufficient guidance and support from their supervisor to provide effective
     service.
   • AmeriCorps supervisors will be adequately trained/prepared to follow AmeriCorps and program regulations,
     priorities, and expectations.

8. Member Experience (3 points)
   • AmeriCorps members will gain skills and experience as a result of their training and service that can be utilized
     and will be valued by future employers after their service term is completed.
   • AmeriCorps members will have access to meaningful service experiences.
   • AmeriCorps members will have access to opportunities for reflection and connection to the broader National
     Service network.
   • The program will recruit AmeriCorps members from the geographic or demographic communities in which the
     programs operate.

9. Commitment to AmeriCorps Identification (2 points)
   • Members will know they are AmeriCorps members.
   • Staff and community members where the members are serving will know they are AmeriCorps members.

   C. Organizational Capability (25 percent)
   Reviewers will consider the quality of the application’s response to the following criteria below. Do not assume all
   sub-criteria are of equal value.

   1. Organizational Background and Staffing (10 points)
• The organization has the experience, staffing, and management structure to plan and implement the proposed program.

As documentation of community support and commitment to the program please procure, keep on file, but do not submit to CNCS, letter(s) from the applicant’s most significant community partner(s). The letter(s) should include what the partner(s) see as the benefit to the community provided by the applicant’s AmeriCorps members and what activities would not happen without the AmeriCorps members.

2. Compliance and Accountability (15 points)
• The organization will comply with AmeriCorps rules and regulations including those related to prohibited and unallowable activities at the grantee, subgrantee (if applicable), and service site locations.
• The applicant’s organization, in implementation and management of its AmeriCorps program, will prevent and detect compliance issues.
• The applicant will hold subgrantees (if applicable) and service site locations accountable if instances of risk or noncompliance are identified.

D. Cost Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy (25 percent)
Reviewers will consider the quality of the application’s response to the following criteria below. Do not assume all sub-criteria are of equal value.

1. Cost Effectiveness (18 points)
• The budget is sufficient to carry out the program effectively.*
• The budget aligns with the applicant’s narrative.*
• The applicant has raised or describes an adequate plan to raise non-CNCS resources to fully support the program.
• The applicant, if recompeting, has a lower cost per Member Service Year (MSY – see Mandatory Supplemental Guidance) than approved in previous grants, or provides a compelling rationale for the same or increased cost including why this increase could not be covered by the grantee share.

*Program costs not included in the formal budget, including for Fixed Price grantees, must be described in this section in sufficient detail to allow reviewers to assess their sufficiency and alignment. Failure to provide adequate information in this section may result in a lower score.

Having a low cost per Member Service Year (MSY) is a competitive advantage. New applicants that submit with a low cost per MSY and recompeting applicants that submit with a lower cost per MSY than previously funded may receive higher priority for funding. Applicants requesting a higher cost per MSY than funded in previous years must justify their requests. If an applicant requests above the maximum cost per MSY (see Maximum Cost per MSY Section), it must justify its request. Please note that such requests are rarely approved.

2. Budget Adequacy (7 points)
• Budget is submitted without mathematical errors and proposed costs are allowable, reasonable, and allocable to the award.
• Budget is submitted with adequate information to assess how each line item is calculated.
• Budget is in compliance with the budget instructions.
• Match is submitted with adequate information to support the amount written in the budget.

Applicants must complete the budget and ensure the following information is in the budget narrative (requested information in the budget screens):
• Identify the non-CNCS funding and resources necessary to support the project, including for Fixed Price applicants.
• Indicate the amount of non-CNCS resource commitments, type of commitments (in-kind and/or cash) and the sources of these commitments.
E. Evaluation Plan (Required for recompeting grantees - 0 percent)

If the applicant is competing for the first time, please enter N/A in the “Evaluation Summary or Plan” field since it pertains only to recompeting grantees. If the applicant is recompeting for AmeriCorps funds for the first time (see definition of “recompeting” below) the program must submit its evaluation plan in the “Evaluation Summary or Plan” section of the Narratives field in eGrants. If the applicant is recompeting for a subsequent time, the program must submit its evaluation report (see the Submission of Additional Documents section for more information), as well as an evaluation plan for the next three-year period in the “Evaluation Plan” field in eGrants. Evaluations plans submitted outside of eGrants will not be reviewed.

Evaluation plans must include as much information as possible for each of the following (see Frequently Asked Questions: Evaluation, available at http://www.nationalservice.gov/resources/evaluation/cnsc-evaluation-policies):

- A short description of the theory of change - why the proposed intervention is expected to produce the proposed results
- Outcome of interest - clear and measurable outcomes that are aligned with the theory of change and will be assessed during the evaluation
- Research questions to be addressed by the study - concrete research questions (or hypotheses) that are clearly connected to the outcomes
- Study components – a) a proposed research design for the evaluation including a rationale for the design selected, an assessment of its strengths and limitations, and a description of the main components; b) description of the sampling methods, measurement tools, and data collection procedures, and c) an analysis plan
- Qualifications needed for the evaluator
- The estimated budget.

For more information about evaluation plans visit the CNCS Knowledge Network’s Evaluation Resources: http://www.nationalservice.gov/resources/evaluation/planning-evaluation.

The evaluation requirements differ depending on the amount of the grant, as described in 45 C.F.R. §2522.710:

- If the applicant is a Tribal Grantee (other than an Education Award Program grantee), and its average annual CNCS program grant is $500,000 or more, it must arrange for an external evaluation of the program, and it must submit the evaluation with any subsequent application to CNCS for competitive funds as required in 45 C.F.R. §2522.730.
- If the applicant is a Tribal Grantee whose average annual CNCS program grant is less than $500,000, or an Education Award Program Grantee, it must conduct an internal or an external evaluation of the program, and it must submit the evaluation with any subsequent application to CNCS for competitive funds as required in 45 C.F.R. §2522.730.

A program will be considered a recompeting application if it satisfies the CNCS definition of “same project” (see Mandatory Supplemental Guidance) and has been funded competitively for at least one complete three-year cycle. If the project satisfies the definition of same project and the applicant has completed one competitively funded three-year cycle, it will be required to submit an evaluation plan. If the project satisfied the definition of same project and the applicant has completed two or more competitively funded three-year cycles, the applicant will be required to submit an evaluation report as well as an evaluation plan for the upcoming three year grant. If the project does not satisfy the definition of recompeting, it will not be required to submit an evaluation plan, or completed evaluation report.

The “Evaluation Summary or Plan” field of the Narrative does not count towards the page limit of the application; however, it does have a set character limit of 10,000 characters. Applicants should print out the plan to ensure the narrative does not exceed the character limits when entered in eGrants.

Grantees with an average annual CNCS program grant of $500,000 or more that are recompeting for funds are eligible to apply for approval of an alternative evaluation approach. Grantees requesting approval of an alternative evaluation approach should submit a request for approval of an alternative evaluation approach along with their
evaluation plan in the Evaluation Summary or Plan field in eGrants. The request should clearly explain: (a) the
evaluation constraints faced by the program, (b) why the proposed approach is the most rigorous option feasible,
and (c) how the proposed alternative approach will help the grantee build their evidence base. Evaluation plans
should include, at a minimum, the required elements listed in this Notice. The evaluation plan must be consistent
with the information submitted in the competitive funding application and in the request for approval of an
alternative evaluation approach. More information on alternative evaluation approaches can be found at:

If the request for the alternative evaluation approach and the evaluation plan itself will exceed the character limit of
the evaluation summary or plan field in eGrants, the applicant should do the following:
• Enter the evaluation plan in the evaluation summary or plan field in eGrants.
• Include a note in the evaluation summary or plan field stating that the applicant is requesting an alternative
evaluation approach.
• Include the alternative evaluation approach at the END of the application narrative with the heading
“REQUEST FOR ALTERNATIVE EVALUATION APPROACH.” This section of the application narrative
will not count against the page limit.

F. Amendment Justification (0 percent)
Enter N/A. This field will be used if the applicant is awarded a grant and needs to amend it.

G. Clarification Information (0 percent)
Enter N/A. This field will be used to enter information that requires clarification in the post-review period.

H. Continuation Changes (0 percent)
Enter N/A. This field will be used to enter changes in the application narratives in continuation requests.

Criteria for Planning Grants

A. Executive Summary (fill in the blanks) (0 percent):
The [Name of the tribe/tribal organization] proposes to develop an AmeriCorps program serving in [the location(s)
the AmeriCorps program will serve] that will focus on the CNCS focus area(s) of [Focus Area(s)]. The CNCS
investment of $[amount of request] will be matched with $[amount of projected match], $[amount of local, state,
tribal, and federal funds] in public funding and $[amount of non-governmental funds] in private funding. No
AmeriCorps members will be needed to execute this plan.

B. Program Design (50 percent)
Reviewers will consider the quality of the application’s response to the following criteria below. Do not assume all
sub-criteria are of equal value.

1. Problem/Need (20 points):
• The community need/problem is prevalent and severe in communities where the program plans to serve and the
need has been well documented with relevant data.
• The applicant provides a clear explanation for why a planning grant is needed.

2. Planning Process/Timeline (30 points):
• The applicant describes a clear and logical planning process, including:
  o A detailed description of who is leading the planning process.
  o A well-developed and realistic timeline for planning activities.
  o A clear description of how the planning period will be used to develop the necessary components to
effectively manage an AmeriCorps program in the future.
• The applicant includes development of the following program elements in the planning process:
C. Organizational Capability (25 percent)
Reviewers will consider the quality of the application’s response to the following criteria below. Do not assume all sub-criteria are of equal value.

1. Organizational Background and Staffing (25 points)
   - The applicant describes how it has the experience, staffing, and management structure to plan the proposed program.
   - The applicant describes its prior experience in the proposed area of programming.
   - The applicant describes its plans to engage community members and partner organizations in the planning process.

D. Cost Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy (25 percent)
Reviewers will consider the quality of the application’s response to the following criteria below. Do not assume all sub-criteria are of equal value.

1. Cost Effectiveness (15 points):
   - The budget is sufficient to carry out the planning process effectively.
   - The budget aligns with the applicant’s narrative.
   - The applicant has raised or describes an adequate plan to raise non-CNCS resources to fully support the planning process.

In applying the cost-effectiveness criteria, CNCS will take into account the following circumstances of individual programs: whether your program or project is located in a resource-poor community, such as a rural or remote community, a community with a high poverty rate, or a community with a scarcity of corporate or philanthropic resources; and whether your program or project is located in a high-cost, economically distressed community, measured by applying appropriate Federal and State data.

2. Budget Adequacy (10 points)
   - Budget is submitted without mathematical errors and proposed costs are allowable, reasonable, and allocable to the award.
   - Budget is submitted with adequate information to assess how each line item is calculated.
   - Budget is in compliance with the budget instructions.
   - Match is submitted with adequate information to support the amount written in the budget.

Applicants must complete the budget and ensure the following information is in the budget narrative (requested information in the budget screens):
   - Indicate the amount of non-CNCS resource commitments, type of commitments (in-kind and/or cash) and the sources of these commitments.

E. Evaluation Plan (0 percent)

- Enter N/A
F. Amendment Justification (0 percent)

- Enter N/A. This field will be used if the applicant is awarded a grant and needs to amend it.

G. Clarification Information (0 percent)

- Enter N/A. This field will be used to enter information that requires clarification in the post-review period.

H. Continuation Changes (0 percent)

- Enter N/A.

2. Review and Selection Process
   a. Compliance and Eligibility Review
   CNCS staff will review all applications submitted to determine compliance with eligibility, the submission deadline, and completeness. An application is compliant if the applicant:
   - Is an eligible tribe or tribal organization as required and outlined in the Eligibility section of the Notice
   - Submits an application by the submission deadline
   - Submit an application that is complete, in that it contains all required elements and follows the instructions provided in this Notice

   CNCS will screen applications in accordance with the requirements in this Notice to determine if the applicant has met all eligibility and submission requirements. The screening may occur at various stages of the grant-making process. Applicants determined to be ineligible will not receive an award.

   b. Review
   Each application will be assessed by several CNCS staff. Staff reviewers will evaluate the application using the application review criteria, consider the comprehensiveness and feasibility of the application, and the priorities and strategic considerations detailed in the Notice. External Reviewers will review and assess the evidence base criteria in the Notice. All reviewers will be screened for conflicts of interest.

   c. Post Review Quality Control
   After the reviewers complete their assessment, CNCS staff will review the results for fairness and consistency. Some applications may be selected for a Quality Control assessment.

   d. Applicant Clarification
   CNCS may ask an applicant for clarifying information and/or making changes to their application including changes to the budget. This information is used by CNCS staff in making final recommendations. Applications may be recommended for funding even if they are not asked for clarifying information. A request for clarification does not guarantee a grant award. An applicant’s failure to respond to a requests for clarification adequately and in a timely manner may result in the removal of its applications from consideration.

   e. Risk Assessment Evaluation
   CNCS staff will evaluate the risks to the program posed by each applicant, including conducting due diligence to ensure an applicant's ability to manage federal funds and the applicant’s past performance (if applicable.) This evaluation is in addition to the evaluation of the applicant’s eligibility for funding and the quality of its application on the basis of the Selection Criteria. Results from this evaluation will inform funding decisions. If CNCS determines that an award will be made, special conditions that correspond to the degree of risk assessed may be applied to the award.

   In evaluating risks, CNCS may consider the following, but not limited to:
   - Financial stability
   - Quality of financial management systems and ability to meet the administrative standards prescribed in applicable OMB Guidance
• History of performance as reflected in the applicant’s record in managing previous CNCS awards, cooperative agreements, or procurement awards, including:
  o Timeliness of compliance with applicable reporting requirements,
  o If applicable, meeting matching requirements, and
  o If applicable, the extent to which any previously awarded amounts will be expended prior to future awards
• Information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as—
  o Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS),
  o Dun and Bradstreet, or
  o “Do Not Pay”
• Reports and findings from single audits performed and findings of any other available audits
• IRS Tax Form 990
• An applicant organization’s annual report
• Publicly available information including information from an applicant organization's website
• Any other information listed in 45 C.F.R. § 2522.140
• The applicant’s ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements.
• Past Performance

Additionally, CNCS may use the results of the review of the risk assessment evaluation in determining which applications to fund. If CNCS concludes that the reasons for applicants having poor risk assessment evaluations are not likely to be mitigated, those applications may not be selected for funding.

f. Consideration of Integrity and Performance System Information

Prior to making any award under this Notice that exceeds $150,000, CNCS is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently FAPIIS) (see 41 U.S.C. 2313). Additionally, CNCS may expand upon these requirements and use its discretion to review and consider information about any applicant receiving an award, including those under $150,000.

Any applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through SAM and comment on any information about itself that a federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through FAPIIS;

CNCS will consider any comments by any applicant, in addition to the other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant’s integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under federal awards when completing its review of risk posed by the applicant under the Risk Assessment Evaluation section of this Notice.

g. Selection for Funding

The assessment of applications involves a wide range of factors and considerations. CNCS staff will apply their experience and expertise in evaluating and recommending applications. CNCS will engage external reviewers to provide insight and input with respect to the evidence base of eligible applications. In the end, the review and selection process will produce a diversified set of high-quality programs that reflect the priorities and strategic consideration described in this Notice.

Specifically, the review and selection process will:
A. Identify how well eligible applications are aligned with application review criteria and/or represent relative risk and/or opportunity.

B. Yield a diversified portfolio based on the following strategic considerations:
• Meaningful representation of
Geographic diversity
- Rural communities (see definition in Mandatory Supplemental Guidance)
- Small and medium programs

- CNCS Notice Priorities representation
- Focus area representation
- Organizations and/or program models that recruit and engage traditionally underrepresented populations as AmeriCorps members (e.g.; people with disabilities, low-income individuals, older Americans, etc.) and expand opportunities to serve as AmeriCorps members
- High ratio of match and/or other revenue to CNCS investment
- Moderate and Strong evidence levels
- Promise Zone designees

In selecting applicants to receive awards under this Notice, the Chief Executive Officer will endeavor to include a diverse portfolio of applications based on staff evaluations, recommendations, priorities, and strategic considerations.

CNCS reserves the right to adjust or make changes to the review process, in the event that unforeseen challenges or exigent circumstances make it impossible, impractical or inefficient to execute the review process as planned. Any such adjustments or changes will not affect the selection criteria that will be used to assess applications submitted to CNCS in response to this Notice.

3. Feedback to Applicants
Following grant awards, each applicant will receive summary comments pertaining to their application. Feedback will be based on the review of the original application and will not reflect any information that may have been provided in response to clarification questions, if any. All compliant applications will receive feedback from the external review of the evidence base portion of their application.

4. Transparency in Grant-making
CNCS is committed to transparency in grant-making. The following information for new and re-competing applications will be published on CNCS Results of Grant Competitions within 90 business days after all grants are awarded:
- a list of all compliant applications submitted
- Executive Summaries of all compliant applications submitted by the applicants
- data extracted from the SF-424 Face Sheet and the submitted program narratives for successful applications
- a blank template of the external review worksheet
- a list of all external reviewers that completed the review process
- a summary of external reviewer comments for successful applications.

F. FEDERAL AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION
1. Federal Award Notices
CNCS will make awards following the grant selection announcement. CNCS anticipates announcing the results of this competition by notifying applicants no later than July 11, 2017, contingent on timely full year appropriations. Applicants will be notified of funding decisions via email. This notification is not an authorization to begin grant activities. The Notice of Grant Award signed by the Grant Officer is the authorizing document for grant activities. Unsuccessful applicants will also receive a notification that their application was not approved for funding.

An awardee may not expend federal funds until the start of the Project Period identified on the Notice of Grant Award. CNCS generally makes an initial award for the first year of operation.

2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements
a. Uniform Guidance
All awards made under this Notice will be subject to the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance), now consolidated in 2 CFR Parts 200 and 2205.

b. Requests for Improper Payment Information
CNCS may, from time to time, request documentation from recipients in order to monitor the award or to comply with other legal requirements, such as the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002, as amended. Failure to make timely responses to these requests may result in award funds being placed on temporary manual hold, reimbursement only, or other remedies as appropriate. In addition, CNCS may, in appropriate circumstances, consider in its funding decisions any previous failures to make timely response.

c. CNCS Terms and Conditions
All awards made under this Notice will be subject to the 2017 CNCS General Terms and Conditions, and the 2017 Specific Terms and Conditions for the particular program (when applicable). These Terms and Conditions contain detailed, mandatory compliance and reporting requirements. Current versions of the CNCS General and Specific Terms and Conditions for each of its programs is available at https://www.nationalservice.gov/resources/terms-and-conditions-cnsc-grants.

d. National Service Criminal History Check Requirements
The National Service Criminal History Check (NSCHC) is a specific screening procedure established by law to protect the beneficiaries of national service. The law requires recipients to conduct and document NSCHCs on any person (including award-funded staff, national service participant, or volunteer) receiving a salary, living allowance, stipend, or education award through a program receiving CNCS funds. An individual is ineligible to serve in a position that receives such CNCS funding if the individual is registered, or required to be registered, as a sex offender or has been convicted of murder. The cost of conducting NSCHCs is an allowable expense under the award.

Unless CNCS has provided a recipient with a written exemption or written approval of an alternative search procedure, recipients must perform the following checks–

All award-funded staff, national service participants, and volunteers must undergo NSCHCs that include:

- A nationwide name-based search of the National Sex Offender Public Website (NSOPW); and
- Either
  - A name- or fingerprint-based search of the statewide criminal history registry in the person’s state of residence and in the state where the person will serve/work; or
  - A fingerprint-based FBI criminal history check.

Special Rule for Persons Serving Vulnerable Populations. Award-funded staff, national service participants, and volunteers with recurring access to vulnerable populations (i.e., children age 17 or younger, individuals age 60 or older, or individuals with disabilities) must undergo NSCHCs that include:

- A nationwide name-based check of the NSOPW; and
- Both
  - A name- or fingerprint-based search of the statewide criminal history registry in the person’s state of residence and in the state where the person will serve/work; and
  - A fingerprint-based FBI criminal history check.

45 CFR § 2540.200–§ 2540.207 and CNCS Criminal History Check Resources for complete information and FAQs.

3. Use of Material
To ensure that materials generated with CNCS funding are available to the public and readily accessible to grantees and non-grantees, CNCS reserves a royalty-free, nonexclusive, and irrevocable right to obtain, use, modify, reproduce, publish, or disseminate publications and materials produced under the award, including data, and to authorize others to do so (2 C.F.R. §200.315 (b)).
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4. Reporting
Recipients are required to submit a variety of reports which are due at specific times during the life cycle of an award. All reports must be accurate, complete, and submitted on time.

Cost reimbursement grantees are required to provide mid-year and end of year progress reports, semi-annual financial reports, and an internal or external evaluation report as required by the AmeriCorps regulations 45 C.F.R. §§2522.500-2522.540 and §§2522.700-2522.740.

Fixed Amount grantees are required to provide mid-year and end of year progress reports and an internal or external evaluation report. All grantees, including Fixed Amount grantees, submit quarterly financial reports to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Payment Management System.

Award recipients will be required to report at www.FSRS.gov on all subawards over $25,000 and may be required to report on executive compensation for your organization and for your subgrantees. Recipients and subrecipients must have the necessary systems in place to collect and report this information. See 2 C.F.R. Part 170 for more information and to determine how these requirements apply.

Once the grant is awarded, recipients will be expected to have data collection and data management policies and practices that provide reasonable assurance that they are providing CNCS with high quality programmatic and financial data. At a minimum, grantees should have policies and practices which address the following five aspects of data quality:
- The data measures what it intends to measure;
- The grantee collects data in a consistent manner;
- The grantee takes steps to correct data errors;
- The grantee ensures that the data reported is complete; and
- The grantee actively reviews data prior to submission.

Progress Report Data
In addition to semi-annual reporting of progress toward the grant’s approved performance measure outputs and outcomes, additional demographic and narrative data must be reported annually (as applicable), including the number of applicants for AmeriCorps positions and the number of volunteers recruited and/or supported by AmeriCorps members (both episodic and ongoing volunteers.)

Failure to submit accurate, complete, and timely required reports may affect the recipient’s ability to secure future CNCS funding.

For further guidance and training resources see – Resources: Data Collection and Instruments.

5. Continuation Funding Information and Requirements
Organizations that have current AmeriCorps awards that do not end in FY17 must submit an application in order to be eligible to receive funding for the following year. Please see the Application Instructions. Requests by existing continuation applicants for increases in the level of funding or number of positions will be assessed using the criteria under this Notice.

G. FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY CONTACT(S)
This Notice is available at http://www.nationalservice.gov/build-your-capacity/grants/funding-opportunities. The TTY number is (202) 606-3472. For further information or for a printed copy of this Notice, call (202) 606-7508. For questions regarding multi-state or Indian Tribes applications submitted directly to CNCS, please call (202) 606-7508 or send an e-mail to americorpsgrants@cns.gov. CNCS’ mailing address is:

Corporation for National and Community Service
ATTN: Office of Grants Policy and Operations/ASN Application
H. OTHER INFORMATION
  1. Technical Assistance
     In addition to consulting the Application Instructions, Mandatory Supplemental Guidance, Performance Measure Instructions, and AmeriCorps regulations as directed in this Notice, applicants are encouraged also to consult the CNCS web site for a schedule of technical assistance conference calls, and Frequently Asked Questions that are updated during the competition period.

  2. Re-Focusing of Funding
     CNCS reserves the right to re-focus funding in the event of disaster or other compelling need for service.